

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

- ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014
OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001-34055



TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware

82-0291227

(State of other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

101 East Lakeside Avenue

Coeur d'Alene, Idaho

83814

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(208) 664-4859

(Registrant's Telephone Number, including Area Code)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.001 par value	NYSE MKT

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 229.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company, as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes No

As of March 31, 2014, the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting shares of common stock of the registrant issued and outstanding on such date, excluding shares held by affiliates of the registrant as a group, was \$11,135,738. This figure is based on the closing sale price of \$0.15 per share of the Registrant's common stock on March 31, 2014 on the NYSE MKT.

Number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of December 19, 2014: 9,900,084

Documents incorporated by reference: To the extent herein specifically referenced in Part III, portions of the Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A. See Part III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		2
ITEM 1.	DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS	2
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS	6
ITEM 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	13
ITEM 2.	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES	13
ITEM 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	28
ITEM 4.	MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES	28
PART II		29
ITEM 5.	MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES	29
ITEM 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	30
ITEM 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION	30
ITEM 7A.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	34
ITEM 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	35
ITEM 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	54
ITEM 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	54
ITEM 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	54
PART III		55
ITEM 10.	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	55
ITEM 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	55
ITEM 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDERS MATTERS	55
ITEM 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	55
ITEM 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	55
PART IV		55
ITEM 15.	EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	55
SIGNATURES		57

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K and the exhibits attached hereto contain “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Such forward-looking statements concern the Company’s anticipated results and developments in the Company’s operations in future periods, planned exploration and development of its properties, plans related to its business and other matters that may occur in the future. These statements relate to analyses and other information that are based on forecasts of future results, estimates of amounts not yet determinable and assumptions of management. These statements include, but are not limited to, comments regarding:

- the establishment and estimates of mineralization and reserves;
- the grade of mineralization and reserves;
- anticipated expenditures and costs in our operations;
- planned exploration activities and the anticipated outcome of such exploration activities;
- plans and anticipated timing for obtaining permits and licenses for our properties;
- expected future financing and its anticipated outcome;
- anticipated liquidity to meet expected operating costs and capital requirements;
- our ability to obtain financing to fund our estimated expenditure and capital requirements; and
- factors expected to impact our results of operations.

Any statements that express or involve discussions with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions or future events or performance (often, but not always, using words or phrases such as “expects” or “does not expect”, “is expected”, “anticipates” or “does not anticipate”, “plans”, “estimates” or “intends”, or stating that certain actions, events or results “may”, “could”, “would”, “might” or “will” be taken, occur or be achieved) are not statements of historical fact and may be forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are subject to a variety of known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors which could cause actual events or results to differ from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements, including, without limitation:

- risks related to our limited operating history;
- risks related to our history of losses and our expectation of continued losses;
- risks related to our properties being in the exploration or development stage;
- risks related our mineral operations being subject to government regulation;
- risks related to future legislation and administrative changes to mining laws;
- risks related to future legislation regarding climate change;
- risks related to our ability to obtain additional capital to develop our reserves, if any;
- risks related to land reclamation requirements and costs;
- risks related to mineral exploration and development activities being inherently dangerous;
- risks related to our insurance coverage for operating risks;
- risks related to cost increases for our exploration and development projects;
- risks related to a shortage of equipment and supplies adversely affecting our ability to operate;
- risks related to mineral estimates;
- risks related to the fluctuation of prices for precious and base metals, such as gold, silver and copper;
- risks related to the competitive industry of mineral exploration;
- risks related to our title and rights in our mineral properties;
- risks related to integration issues with acquisitions;
- risks related to joint ventures and partnerships;
- risks related to potential conflicts of interest with our management;
- risks related to our dependence on key management;
- risks related to our Lookout Mountain and other acquired growth projects;
- risks related to our business model;
- risks related to evolving corporate governance standards for public companies;
- risks related to our Canadian regulatory requirements; and
- risks related to our shares of common stock.

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect our forward-looking statements. Some of the important risks and uncertainties that could affect forward-looking statements are described further under the sections titled “Risk Factors”, “Description of Business” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” of this Annual Report. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, believed, estimated, or expected. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on any such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. We disclaim any obligation subsequently to revise any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of such statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events, except as required by law.

We qualify all the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report by the foregoing cautionary statements.

PART I

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors Regarding Mineral Reserve and Resource Estimates

Certain of the technical reports referenced in this Annual Report use the terms "mineral resource," "measured mineral resource," "indicated mineral resource" and "inferred mineral resource". We advise investors that these terms are defined in and required to be disclosed in accordance with Canadian National Instrument 43-101 – *Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects* ("NI 43-101") and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum (the "CIM") – *CIM Definition Standards on Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves*, adopted by the CIM Council, as amended; however, these terms are not defined terms under the United States Securities and Exchange Commission's (the "SEC") Industry Guide 7 ("Guide 7") and are normally not permitted to be used in reports and registration statements filed with the SEC. Under Guide 7 standards, a "final" or "bankable" feasibility study is required to report reserves, the three-year historical average price is used in any reserve or cash flow analysis to designate reserves, and the primary environmental analysis or report must be filed with the appropriate governmental authority. Disclosure of "contained ounces" in a resource is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC normally only permits issuers to report mineralization that does not constitute "reserves" by Guide 7 standards as in place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. As a reporting issuer in Canada, we are required to prepare reports on our mineral properties in accordance with NI 43-101. We reference those technical reports in this Annual Report for informational purposes only and such reports are not incorporated herein by reference. "Inferred mineral resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence, and great uncertainty as to their economic and legal feasibility. It cannot be assumed that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource will ever be upgraded to a higher category. Under Canadian rules, estimates of inferred mineral resources may not form the basis of feasibility or pre-feasibility studies, except in rare cases. Investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of an inferred mineral resource exists or is economically or legally mineable. Investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of mineral deposits in the above categories will ever be converted into Guide 7 compliant reserves.

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

General

We were incorporated in the State of Idaho in August 1968 under the name Silver Crystal Mines, Inc., to engage in the business of exploring for precious metal deposits and advancing them toward production. We ceased exploration activities during the 1990s and became virtually inactive. In December 2003, a group of investors purchased 80-percent of the issued and outstanding common stock from the then-controlling management team. In January 2004, we effected a one-for-four reverse split of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock and increased the number of our authorized shares of common stock to 100,000,000 with a par value of \$0.001.

In February 2004, our name was changed to Timberline Resources Corporation. Since the reorganization, we have been in an exploration stage evaluating, acquiring, and exploring mineral prospects with potential for economic deposits of precious and base metals. A prospect is defined as a mining property, the value of which has not been determined by exploration. In August 2008, we reincorporated into the State of Delaware pursuant to a merger agreement approved by our shareholders.

In March 2006, we acquired Timberline Drilling, Inc. ("TDI"), formerly known as Kettle Drilling, as a wholly-owned subsidiary. TDI was formed in 1996 and provides mineral core drilling services to the mining and mineral exploration industries primarily in the western United States.

In July 2007, we closed our purchase of the Butte Highlands Gold Project. In October 2008, we announced that we had agreed to form a 50/50 joint venture at the Butte Highlands project. In July 2009, we finalized the joint venture agreement with Highland Mining, LLC ("Highland") to create Butte Highlands JV, LLC ("BHJV"). Under terms of the joint venture agreement, development began in the summer of 2009, with Highland funding all mine development costs through development. Both Timberline's and Highland's 50-percent share of costs will be paid out of net proceeds from future mine production.

In June 2010, we closed our acquisition of Staccato Gold Resources Ltd., a Canadian-based resource company ("Staccato Gold") that was in the business of acquiring, exploring, and developing mineral properties with a focus on gold exploration in the dominant gold producing trends in Nevada. As a result of this acquisition, we obtained Staccato's flagship gold exploration project ("Lookout Mountain"), and several other projects at various stages of exploration in the Battle Mountain/Eureka gold trend in north-central Nevada, along with Staccato Gold's wholly owned U.S. subsidiary, BH Minerals USA, Inc. ("BH Minerals").

In September 2011, we announced that we had entered into a non-binding letter of intent to sell TDI to a private company formed by a group of investors, including certain members of the senior management team of TDI. In November 2011, the sale of TDI was completed for a total value of approximately \$15 million.

In August 2014, our stockholders approved an increase in the number of authorized shares of common stock from 100,000,000 shares, par value \$0.001, to 200,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001.

In August 2014, our stockholders approved a one-for-twelve reverse stock split of the company's common stock. The one-for-twelve reverse stock split was effective October 31, 2014. As a result of the reverse stock split, the number of issued and outstanding shares was adjusted and the number of shares underlying outstanding stock options and warrants and the related exercise prices were adjusted. Following the effective date of the reverse stock split, the par value of the common stock remained at \$0.001 per share. Unless otherwise indicated, all references herein to shares outstanding and share issuances have been adjusted to give effect to the aforementioned stock split.

In August 2014, we closed our acquisition of Wolfpack Gold (Nevada) Corp. (“Wolfpack US”), a U.S. company and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Wolfpack Gold Corp. a Canadian-based resource company (“Wolfpack Gold”), that was in the business of acquiring, exploring, and developing mineral properties with a focus on gold exploration in the dominant gold producing trends in Nevada. As a result of this acquisition, we obtained cash and several projects at various stages of exploration in the gold trends in Nevada.

Unless otherwise indicated, any reference to “Timberline”, or “we”, “us”, “our”, etc. refers to Timberline Resources Corporation and/or all its subsidiaries, including TDI (where applicable prior to its sale), Staccato Gold, BH Minerals, and Wolfpack US.

Overview of Our Mineral Exploration Business

We are a mineral exploration business and, if and when we establish mineral reserves, a development company. Mineral exploration is essentially a research activity that does not produce a product. Successful exploration often results in increased project value that can be realized through the optioning or selling of the claimed site to larger companies. We acquire properties which we believe have potential to host economic concentrations of minerals, particularly gold, silver, and copper. These acquisitions have and may take the form of unpatented mining claims on federal land, or leasing claims, or private property owned by others. An unpatented mining claim is an interest that can be acquired to the mineral rights on open lands of the federally owned public domain. Claims are staked in accordance with the Mining Law of 1872, recorded with the federal government pursuant to laws and regulations established by the Bureau of Land Management (the Federal agency that administers America’s public lands), and grant the holder of the claim a possessory interest in the mineral rights, subject to the paramount title of the United States.

We will perform basic geological work to identify specific drill targets on the properties, and then collect subsurface samples by drilling to confirm the presence of mineralization (the presence of economic minerals in a specific area or geological formation). We may enter into joint venture agreements with other companies to fund further exploration and/or development work. It is our plan to focus on assembling a high quality group of mid-stage mineral (gold, silver, and copper) exploration prospects, using the experience and contacts of the management group. By such prospects, we mean properties that may have been previously identified by third parties, including prior owners such as exploration companies, as mineral prospects with potential for economic mineralization. Often these properties have been sampled, mapped and sometimes drilled, usually with indefinite results. Accordingly, such acquired projects will either have some prior exploration history or will have strong similarity to a recognized geologic ore deposit model. We will place geographic emphasis on the western United States.

The focus of our activity has been to acquire properties that we believe to be undervalued; including those that we believe to hold previously unrecognized mineral potential. Properties have been acquired through the location of unpatented mining claims (which allow the claimholder the right to mine the minerals without holding title to the property), or by negotiating lease/option agreements. Our President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, Paul Dirksen, as well as our Vice President of Exploration, Steven Osterberg, have experience in evaluating, staking and filing unpatented mining claims, and in negotiating and preparing mineral lease agreements in connection with those mining claims.

The geologic potential and ore deposit models have been defined and specific drill targets identified on the majority of our properties. Our property evaluation process involves using basic geologic fieldwork to perform an initial evaluation of a property. If the evaluation is positive, we seek to acquire it, either by staking unpatented mining claims on open public domain, or by leasing the property from the owner of private property or the owner of unpatented claims. Once acquired, we then typically make a more detailed evaluation of the property. This detailed evaluation involves expenditures for exploration work which may include rock and soil sampling, geologic mapping, geophysics, trenching, drilling, or other means to determine if economic mineralization is present on the property.

Portions of our mineral properties are owned by third parties at September 30, 2014 and leased to us, as outlined in the following table.

Property Name	Third Party	Number of Claims	Area	Agreements/Royalties
Butte Highlands	Richardson Family Trust	4	60 acres	3% to 4% Net Smelter Royalty (“NSR”); Annual lease payment of \$20,000 + Consumer Price Index adjustment each year thereafter on October 1. Option to purchase property during lease term for \$2,000,000.
Spencer	Idaho Department of Lands	Section 6, T12N R36E	640 acres	5% NSR; Annual lease payment of \$640.
White Rock	Paul Schmidt & John Thomas	21	420 acres	3% NSR; Advance royalty payments, ranging from \$20,000 to \$50,000 annually; Option to purchase property during lease term for \$100,000.
Toole Springs	Bruce W. Miller	165	3,300 acres	3% NSR; Advance royalty payments, ranging from \$20,000 to \$100,000 annually.
New York Canyon (Eureka)	Smith Family	2	17 acres	3% to 5% NSR; Advance royalty payments, ranging from \$3,000 to \$15,000 annually.
Hoosac (Eureka)	Silver International	10	207 acres	1% NSR; Advance royalty payments, \$10,000 annually; Option to purchase property during lease term for \$2,000,000.
Lookout Mountain (Eureka)	Rocky Canyon Mining Company	373	6,368 acres	3.5% NSR + 1.5% NSR capped at \$1.5 million (excludes Trevor and Dave claims); 20-year lease term commencing June 1, 2008; annual advanced royalty payment of \$72,000. (Pending legal opinion, additional 2% NSR possible)
Knight Properties/Iron Butte	David C. and Debra J. Knight Living Trust	486	9,720 acres	3% NSR; Annual advance lease royalty payments of \$25,000 commencing March 15, 2015. Option to purchase property during lease term for \$2,000,000 and 208,334 shares of Timberline common stock.

All of the leases are contracts with varied terms, all of which provide for us to earn an interest in the property or receive a royalty. For additional information see “Description of Property” below.

Our strategy with properties deemed to be of higher risk or those that would require very large exploration expenditures is to present them to larger companies for joint venture. Our joint venture strategy is intended to maximize the abilities and skills of the management group, conserve capital, and provide superior leverage for investors. If we present a property to a major company and they are not interested, we will continue to seek an interested partner.

For our prospects where drilling costs are reasonable and the likelihood of success seems favorable, we will undertake our own drilling. The target depths, the tenor of mineralization on the surface, and the general geology of the area are all factors that determine the risk as calculated by us in conducting a drilling operation. Mineral exploration is a research and development activity and is, by definition, a high risk business that relies on numerous untested assumptions and variables. Accordingly, we make our decisions on a project by project basis. We do not have any steadfast formula that we apply in determining the reasonableness of drilling costs in comparison to the likelihood of success, i.e. in determining whether the probability of success seems “favorable.”

Our Competition

The mineral exploration industry is intensely competitive in all phases. In our mineral exploration activities, we will compete with many companies possessing greater financial resources and technical facilities than we do for the acquisition of mineral concessions, claims, leases, and other mineral interests as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees, including mining engineers, geologists, and other skilled mining professionals. We use consultants and compete with other mining companies for the man hours of consulting time required to complete our studies. We also compete with other mining companies for exploration and development equipment and services. We must overcome significant barriers to enter into the business of mineral exploration as a result of our limited operating history.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to compete in any of our business areas effectively with current or future competitors or that the competitive pressures faced by us will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and operating results.

Our Offices and Other Facilities

We currently maintain our administrative office at 101 East Lakeside Ave., Coeur d’Alene, ID 83814. The telephone number is (866) 513-4859 (toll free) or (208) 664-4859.

Our Employees

We are an exploration company and currently have 5 employees, including certain officers and directors. Management expects to hire staff and additional management as necessary as implementation of our business plan requires.

Regulation

The exploration and mining industries operate in a legal environment that requires permits to conduct virtually all operations. These permits are required by local, state, and federal government agencies. Federal agencies that may be involved include: The U.S. Forest Service (USFS), Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), and the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS). Individual states also have various environmental regulatory bodies, such as Departments of Ecology. Local authorities, usually counties, also have control over mining activity. The various permits address such issues as prospecting, development, production, labor standards, taxes, occupational health and safety, toxic substances, air quality, water use, water discharge, water quality, noise, dust, wildlife impacts, as well as other environmental and socioeconomic issues.

Prior to receiving the necessary permits to explore or mine, a mine operator must comply with all regulatory requirements imposed by all governmental authorities having jurisdiction over the project area. Very often, in order to obtain the requisite permits, the operator must have its land reclamation, restoration, or replacement plans pre-approved. Specifically, the operator must present its plan as to how it intends to restore or replace the affected area. Often all or any of these requirements can cause delays or involve costly studies or alterations of the proposed activity or time frame of operations, in order to mitigate impacts. All of these factors make it more difficult and costly to operate and have a negative and sometimes fatal impact on the viability of the exploration or mining operation. Finally, it is possible that future changes in these laws or regulations could have a significant impact on our business, causing those activities to be economically reevaluated at that time. For a more detailed discussion of governmental and environmental regulatory requirements applicable to our mineral exploration business see the section titled “Description of Properties - Overview of Regulatory, Economic and Environmental Issues” below.

Reclamation

We generally are required to mitigate long-term environmental impacts by stabilizing, contouring, re-sloping and re-vegetating various portions of a site after mining and mineral processing operations are completed. These reclamation efforts are conducted in accordance with detailed plans, which must be reviewed and approved by the appropriate regulatory agencies.

The Commodities Market

The prices of gold and silver have fluctuated during the last several years, with the prices of gold and silver falling to their lowest levels in the past several years in 2014. In 2012, gold traded between approximately \$1,540 and \$1,792 per ounce, based on the London PM Fix Price. In 2013, gold traded between approximately \$1,195 and \$1,694, and in 2014 to date, gold has traded between approximately \$1,142 and \$1,385 (based on the London PM Fix Price). The price of gold based on the London PM Fix Price closed at \$1,199.00 on December 18, 2014.

In 2012, the price of silver per ounce ranged from approximately \$26.67 to \$37.23, based on the London Fix Price. In 2013, the price of silver ranged from approximately \$18.61 to \$32.23 per ounce, and in 2014 to date, silver has traded between approximately \$15.28 and \$22.05 (based on the London Fix Price). The price of silver based on the London Fix Price closed at \$16.08 on December 18, 2014.

Seasonality

Seasonality in Nevada and Montana is not a material factor to our operations. Certain surface exploration work may need to be conducted when there is no snow on the ground but it is not a material issue.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

An investment in an exploration stage mining company such as ours involves an unusually high amount of risk, both known and unknown, present and potential, including, but not limited to the risks enumerated below.

Failure to successfully address the risks and uncertainties described below would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and/or results of operations, and the trading price of our common stock may decline and investors may lose all or part of their investment. We cannot assure you that we will successfully address these risks or other unknown risks that may affect our business.

Estimates of mineralized material are forward-looking statements inherently subject to error. Although mineralization and reserve estimates require a high degree of assurance in the underlying data when the estimates are made, unforeseen events and uncontrollable factors can have significant adverse or positive impacts on the estimates. Actual results will inherently differ from estimates. The unforeseen events and uncontrollable factors include: geologic uncertainties including inherent sample variability, metal price fluctuations, variations in mining and processing parameters, and adverse changes in environmental or mining laws and regulations. We cannot accurately predict the timing and effects of variances from estimated values.

Risks Related To Our Company

We have a limited operating history on which to base an evaluation of our business and prospects.

Although we have been in the business of exploring mineral properties since our incorporation in 1968, we were inactive for many years prior to our new management in 2004. Since 2004 we have not yet located any mineral reserves. As a result, we have not had any revenues from our exploration division. However, we have had a drilling services wholly-owned subsidiary which has generated revenues in past fiscal years. In addition, our operating history has been restricted to the acquisition and exploration of our mineral properties, and this does not provide a meaningful basis for an evaluation of our prospects if we ever determine that we have a mineral reserve and commence the construction and operation of a mine. Other than through conventional and typical exploration methods and procedures, we have no additional way to evaluate the likelihood of whether our mineral properties contain any mineral reserves or, if they do that they will be operated successfully. As a result, we are subject to all of the risks associated with developing and establishing new mining operations and business enterprises including:

- completion of feasibility studies to verify reserves and commercial viability, including the ability to find sufficient gold reserves to support a commercial mining operation;
- the timing and cost, which can be considerable, of further exploration, preparing feasibility studies, permitting and construction of infrastructure, mining, and processing facilities;
- the availability and costs of drill equipment, exploration personnel, skilled labor, and mining and processing equipment, if required;
- compliance with environmental and other governmental approval and permit requirements;
- the availability of funds to finance exploration, development, and construction activities, as warranted;
- potential opposition from non-governmental organizations, environmental groups, local groups, or local inhabitants which may delay or prevent development activities;
- potential increases in exploration, construction, and operating costs due to changes in the cost of fuel, power, materials, and supplies; and
- potential shortages of mineral processing, construction, and other facilities-related supplies.

The costs, timing, and complexities of exploration, development, and construction activities may be increased by the location of our properties and demand by other mineral exploration and mining companies. It is common in exploration programs to experience unexpected problems and delays during drill programs and, if warranted, development, construction, and mine start-up. Accordingly, our activities may not result in profitable mining operations and we may not succeed in establishing mining operations or profitably producing metals at any of our properties. There is no history upon which to base any assumption as to the likelihood that we will prove successful, and we can provide investors with no assurance that we will generate any operating revenues or ever achieve profitable operations.

We have a history of losses and expect to continue to incur losses in the future.

We have incurred losses since inception and expect to continue to incur losses in the future. We incurred the following losses during each of the following periods:

- \$2,777,886 for the year ended September 30, 2014;
- \$3,724,582 for the year ended September 30, 2013; and
- \$7,171,572 for the year ended September 30, 2012;

We had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$45 million as of September 30, 2014. We expect to continue to incur losses unless and until such time as one of our properties enters into commercial production and generates sufficient revenues to fund continuing operations. We recognize that if we are unable to generate significant revenues from mining operations and dispositions of our properties, we will not be able to earn profits or continue operations. At this early stage of our operation, we also expect to face the risks, uncertainties, expenses, and difficulties frequently encountered by companies at the start-up stage of their business development. We cannot be sure that we will be successful in addressing these risks and uncertainties and our failure to do so could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition.

Risks Associated With Mining and the Exploration Portion of Our Business

All of our properties are in the exploration stage. There is no assurance that we can establish the existence of any mineral reserve on any of our properties in commercially exploitable quantities. Until we can do so, we cannot earn any revenues from these properties, and if we do not do so, we will lose all of the funds that we expend on exploration. If we do not discover any mineral reserve in a commercially exploitable quantity, the exploration component of our business could fail.

We have not established that any of our mineral properties contain any mineral reserves according to recognized reserve guidelines, nor can there be any assurance that we will be able to do so. A mineral reserve is defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission in its Industry Guide 7 as that part of a mineral deposit, which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. The probability of an individual prospect ever having a "reserve" that meets the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission's Industry Guide 7 is extremely remote; in all probability our mineral properties do not contain any "reserve" and any funds that we spend on exploration will probably be lost. Even if we do eventually discover a mineral reserve on one or more of our properties, there can be no assurance that they can be developed into producing mines to extract those minerals. Both mineral exploration and development involve a high degree of risk and few properties which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines.

The commercial viability of an established mineral deposit will depend on a number of factors including, by way of example, the size, grade, and other attributes of the mineral deposit, the proximity of the mineral deposit to infrastructure such as a smelter, roads, and a point for shipping, government regulation, and market prices. Most of these factors will be beyond our control, and any of them could increase costs and make extraction of any identified mineral deposit unprofitable.

Mineral operations are subject to applicable law and government regulation. Even if we discover a mineral reserve in a commercially exploitable quantity, these laws and regulations could restrict or prohibit the exploitation of that mineral reserve. If we cannot exploit any mineral reserve that we might discover on our properties, our business may fail.

Both mineral exploration and extraction require permits from various foreign, federal, state, provincial, and local governmental authorities and are governed by laws and regulations, including those with respect to prospecting, mine development, mineral production, transport, export, taxation, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, toxic substances, land use, environmental protection, mine safety, and other matters. Regarding our future ground disturbing activity on federal land, we will be required to obtain a permit from the US Forest Service or the Bureau of Land Management prior to commencing exploration. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain or maintain any of the permits required for the continued exploration of our mineral properties or for the construction and operation of a mine on our properties at economically viable costs. If we cannot accomplish these objectives, our business could face difficulty and/or fail.

We believe that we are in compliance with all material laws and regulations that currently apply to our activities, but there can be no assurance that we can continue to do so. Current laws and regulations could be amended and we might not be able to comply with them, as amended. Further, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain or maintain all permits necessary for our future operations, or that we will be able to obtain them on reasonable terms. To the extent such approvals are required and are not obtained, we may be delayed or prohibited from proceeding with planned exploration or development of our mineral properties.

Environmental hazards unknown to us, which have been caused by previous or existing owners or operators of the properties, may exist on the properties in which we hold an interest. In past years we have been engaged in exploration in northern Idaho, which is currently the site of a Federal Superfund cleanup project. Although we are no longer involved in this or other areas at present, it is possible that environmental cleanup or other environmental restoration procedures could remain to be completed or mandated by law, causing unpredictable and unexpected liabilities to arise. At the date of this Annual Report, we are not aware of any environmental issues or litigation relating to any of our current or former properties.

Future legislation and administrative changes to the mining laws could prevent us from exploring our properties.

New state and U.S. federal laws and regulations, amendments to existing laws and regulations, administrative interpretation of existing laws and regulations, or more stringent enforcement of existing laws and regulations, could have a material adverse impact on our ability to conduct exploration and mining activities. Any change in the regulatory structure making it more expensive to engage in mining activities could cause us to cease operations.

Regulations and pending legislation governing issues involving climate change could result in increased operating costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

A number of governments or governmental bodies have introduced or are contemplating regulatory changes in response to various climate change interest groups and the potential impact of climate change. Legislation and increased regulation regarding climate change could impose significant costs on us, our venture partners, and our suppliers, including costs related to increased energy requirements, capital equipment, environmental monitoring and reporting, and other costs to comply with such regulations. Any adopted future climate change regulations could also negatively impact our ability to compete with companies situated in areas not subject to such limitations. Given the political significance and uncertainty around the impact of climate change and how it should be dealt with, we cannot predict how legislation and regulation will affect our financial condition, operating performance and ability to compete. Furthermore, even without such regulation, increased awareness and any adverse publicity in the global marketplace about potential impacts on climate change by us or other companies in our industry could harm our reputation. The potential physical impacts of climate change on our operations are highly uncertain, and would be particular to the geographic circumstances in areas in which we operate. These may include changes in rainfall and storm patterns and intensities, water shortages, changing sea levels, and changing temperatures. These impacts may adversely impact the cost, production, and financial performance of our operations.

If we establish the existence of a mineral reserve on any of our properties in a commercially exploitable quantity, we will require additional capital in order to develop the property into a producing mine. If we cannot raise this additional capital, we will not be able to exploit the reserve, and our business could fail.

If we do discover mineral reserves in commercially exploitable quantities on any of our properties, we will be required to expend substantial sums of money to establish the extent of the reserve, develop processes to extract it, and develop extraction and processing facilities and infrastructure. There can be no assurance that a mineral reserve will be large enough to justify commercial operations, nor can there be any assurance that we will be able to raise the funds required for development on a timely basis. If we cannot raise the necessary capital or complete the necessary facilities and infrastructure, our business may fail.

Land reclamation requirements for our properties may be burdensome and expensive.

Although variable depending on location and the governing authority, land reclamation requirements are generally imposed on mineral exploration companies (as well as companies with mining operations) in order to minimize long term effects of land disturbance.

Reclamation may include requirements to:

- control dispersion of potentially deleterious effluents; and
- reasonably re-establish pre-disturbance land forms and vegetation.

In order to carry out reclamation obligations imposed on us in connection with our potential development activities, we must allocate financial resources that might otherwise be spent on further exploration and development programs. We plan to set up a provision for our reclamation obligations on our properties, as appropriate, but this provision may not be adequate. If we are required to carry out unanticipated reclamation work, our financial position could be adversely affected.

Mining exploration and development is inherently dangerous and subject to conditions or events beyond our control, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and plans.

Mining and mineral exploration involves various types of risks and hazards, including:

- environmental hazards;
- power outages;
- metallurgical and other processing problems;
- unusual or unexpected geological formations;
- personal injury, flooding, fire, explosions, cave-ins, landslides, and rock-bursts;
- inability to obtain suitable or adequate machinery, equipment, or labor;
- metals losses;
- fluctuations in exploration, development, and production costs;
- labor disputes;
- unanticipated variations in grade;
- mechanical equipment failure; and
- periodic interruptions due to inclement or hazardous weather conditions.

These risks could result in damage to, or destruction of, mineral properties, production facilities, or other properties, personal injury, environmental damage, delays in mining, increased production costs, monetary losses, and possible legal liability.

Mineral exploration and development is subject to extraordinary operating risks. We do not currently insure against these risks. In the event of a cave-in or similar occurrence, our liability may exceed our resources, which would have an adverse impact on our Company.

Mineral exploration, development, and production involve many risks, which even a combination of experience, knowledge, and careful evaluation may not be able to overcome. Our operations will be subject to all the hazards and risks inherent in the exploration, development and production of minerals, including liability for pollution, cave-ins or similar hazards against which we cannot insure or against which we may elect not to insure. Any such event could result in work stoppages and damage to property, including damage to the environment. We do not currently maintain any insurance coverage against these operating hazards. The payment of any liabilities that arise from any such occurrence would have a material, adverse impact on our Company.

Increased costs could affect our financial condition.

We anticipate that costs at our projects that we may explore or develop, will frequently be subject to variation from one year to the next due to a number of factors, such as changing ore grade, metallurgy, and revisions to mine plans, if any, in response to the physical shape and location of the ore body. In addition, costs are affected by the price of commodities such as fuel, rubber, and electricity. Such commodities are at times subject to volatile price movements, including increases that could make production at certain operations less profitable. A material increase in costs at any significant location could have a significant effect on our profitability.

A shortage of equipment and supplies could adversely affect our ability to operate our business.

We are dependent on various supplies and equipment to carry out our mining exploration and, if warranted, development operations. The shortage of such supplies, equipment, and parts could have a material adverse effect on our ability to carry out our operations and therefore limit or increase the cost of production.

Estimates of mineralized material are subject to evaluation uncertainties that could result in project failure.

Our exploration and future mining operations, if any, are and would be faced with risks associated with being able to accurately predict the quantity and quality of mineralized material within the earth using statistical sampling techniques. Estimates of any mineralized material on any of our properties would be made using samples obtained from appropriately placed trenches, test pits, and underground workings and intelligently designed drilling. There is an inherent variability of assays between check and duplicate samples taken adjacent to each other and between sampling points that cannot be reasonably eliminated. Additionally, there also may be unknown geologic details that have not been identified or correctly appreciated at the current level of accumulated knowledge about our properties. This could result in uncertainties that cannot be reasonably eliminated from the process of estimating mineralized material. If these estimates were to prove to be unreliable, we could implement an exploitation plan that may not lead to commercially viable operations in the future.

Mineral prices are subject to dramatic and unpredictable fluctuations.

We expect to derive revenues, if any, from the eventual extraction and sale of precious metals such as gold and silver. The price of those commodities has fluctuated widely in recent years, and is affected by numerous factors beyond our control including international, economic and political trends, expectations of inflation, currency exchange fluctuations, interest rates, global or regional consumptive patterns, speculative activities and increased production due to new extraction developments, and improved extraction and production methods. The effect of these factors on the price of precious metals, and, therefore, the economic viability of any of our exploration projects, cannot accurately be predicted.

The mining industry is highly competitive and there is no assurance that we will continue to be successful in acquiring mineral claims. If we cannot continue to acquire properties to explore for mineralized material, we may be required to reduce or cease exploration activity and/or operations.

The mineral exploration, development, and production industry is largely un-integrated. We compete with other exploration companies looking for mineral properties and the minerals that can be produced from them. While we compete with other exploration companies in the effort to locate and license mineral properties, we do not compete with them for the removal or sales of mineral products from our properties if we should eventually discover the presence of them in quantities sufficient to make production economically feasible. Readily available markets exist worldwide for the sale of gold and other mineral products. Therefore, we will likely be able to sell any gold or mineral products that we identify and produce.

There are hundreds of public and private companies that are actively engaged in mineral exploration. A representative sample of exploration companies that are similar to us in size, financial resources, and primary objective include such publicly traded mineral exploration companies as Corvus Gold Inc. (KOR.TO), Pilot Gold Inc. (PLG.TO), Gold Standard Ventures Corp. (GSV), Rye Patch Gold Corp. (RPM.TO), Canamex Resources Corp. (CSQ.V), Solitario Exploration and Royalty Corp. (XPL), and Mines Management (MGN).

Many of our competitors have greater financial resources and technical facilities. Accordingly, we will attempt to compete primarily through the knowledge and experience of our management. This competition could adversely affect our ability to acquire suitable prospects for exploration in the future. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will acquire any interest in additional mineral properties that might yield reserves or result in commercial mining operations.

Third parties may challenge our rights to our mineral properties or the agreements that permit us to explore our properties may expire if we fail to timely renew them and pay the required fees.

In connection with the acquisition of our mineral properties, we sometimes conduct only limited reviews of title and related matters, and obtain certain representations regarding ownership. These limited reviews do not necessarily preclude third parties from challenging our title and, furthermore, our title may be defective. Consequently, there can be no assurance that we hold good and marketable title to all of our mining concessions and mining claims. If any of our concessions or claims were challenged, we could incur significant costs and lose valuable time in defending such a challenge. These costs or an adverse ruling with regards to any challenge of our titles could have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations. There can be no assurance that any such disputes or challenges will be resolved in our favor.

We are not aware of challenges to the location or area of any of our mining claims. There is, however, no guarantee that title to the claims will not be challenged or impugned in the future.

Acquisitions and integration issues may expose us to risks.

Our business strategy includes making targeted acquisitions. Any acquisition that we make may be of a significant size, may change the scale of our business and operations, and may expose us to new geographic, political, operating, financial, and geological risks. Our success in our acquisition activities depends on our ability to identify suitable acquisition candidates, negotiate acceptable terms for any such acquisition and integrate the acquired operations successfully with our own. Any acquisitions would be accompanied by risks. For example, there may be significant decreases in commodity prices after we have committed to complete the transaction and have established the purchase price or exchange ratio; a potential materialized property may prove to be below expectations; we may have difficulty integrating and assimilating the operations and personnel of any acquired companies, realizing anticipated synergies and maximizing the financial and strategic position of the combined enterprise and maintaining uniform standards, policies and controls across the organization; the integration of the acquired business or assets may disrupt our ongoing business and our relationships with employees, customers, suppliers, and contractors; and the acquired business or assets may have unknown liabilities which may be significant. If we choose to use equity securities as consideration for such an acquisition, existing shareholders may suffer dilution. Alternatively, we may choose to finance any such acquisition with our existing resources. There can be no assurance that we would be successful in overcoming these risks or any other problems encountered in connection with such acquisitions.

Joint ventures and other partnerships in relation to our properties may expose us to risks.

We are currently involved in, and may enter into in the future, joint ventures or other partnership arrangements with other parties in relation to the exploration, development, and production of certain of the properties in which we have an interest, particularly the Butte Highlands project. Joint ventures can often require unanimous approval of the parties to the joint venture or their representatives for certain fundamental decisions such as an increase or reduction of registered capital, merger, division, dissolution, amendments of constating documents, and the pledge of joint venture assets, which means that each joint venture party may have a veto right with respect to such decisions which could lead to a deadlock in the operations of the joint venture or partnership. Further, we may be unable to exert control over strategic decisions made in respect of such properties. Any failure of such other companies to meet their obligations to us or to third parties, or any disputes with respect to the parties' respective rights and obligations, could have a material adverse effect on the joint ventures or their properties and therefore could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial performance, cash flows, and the price of our common stock.

Risks Related To Our Company

Conflicts of Interest

Certain of our officers and directors may be or become associated with other businesses, including natural resource companies that acquire interests in mineral properties. Such associations may give rise to conflicts of interest from time to time. Our directors are required by Delaware Corporation law to act honestly and in good faith with a view to our best interests and to disclose any interest, which they may have in any of our projects or opportunities. In general, if a conflict of interest arises at a meeting of the board of directors, any director in a conflict will disclose his interest and abstain from voting on such matter or, if he does vote, his vote will not be counted.

We have not adopted any separate formal corporate policy regarding conflicts of interest; however other corporate governance measures have been adopted, such as creating a directors' audit committee requiring independent directors. Additionally, our Code of Ethics does address areas of possible conflicts of interest. As of the date of filing of this report, we had four independent directors on our board of directors (William M. Sheriff, John E. Watson, Robert Martinez and Leigh Freeman). We have formed three committees to ensure our compliance with the requirements of the NYSE MKT. We established an independent audit committee consisting of two independent directors, both of whom were determined to be "financially literate" and one of whom was designated as the "financial expert." We also formed a compensation committee and a corporate governance and nominating committee, both of which are comprised entirely of independent directors. At this time, we feel that these committees and our Code of Ethics provide sufficient corporate governance for our purposes and will meet the specific requirements of the NYSE MKT.

Dependence on Key Management Employees

The nature of both sides of our business, our ability to continue our exploration and development activities and to develop a competitive edge in the marketplace, depends, in large part, on our ability to attract and maintain qualified key management personnel. Competition for such personnel is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be able to attract and retain such personnel. Our development now and in the future will depend on the efforts of key management figures such as Paul Dircksen, Randal Hardy, and Steven Osterberg. The loss of any of these key people could have a material adverse effect on our business. In this regard, we have attempted to reduce the risk associated with the loss of key personnel and have obtained directors and officers insurance coverage. In addition, we have expanded the provisions of our equity incentive plan so that we can provide incentives for our key personnel.

We may not realize the benefits of the Lookout Mountain and other acquired growth projects.

As part of our strategy, we will continue existing efforts and initiate new efforts to develop gold and other mineral projects. We have a large number of such projects, including the Lookout Mountain project. A number of risks and uncertainties are associated with the development of these types of projects, including political, regulatory, design, construction, labor, operating, technical and technological risks, and uncertainties relating to capital and other costs and financing risks. The failure to successfully develop any of these initiatives could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

As part of our business model, we pursue a strategy that may cause us to expend significant resources exploring properties that may not become revenue-producing sites, including the Lookout Mountain project.

Part of our business model is to pursue a strategy which includes significant exploration activities, such as proposed exploration at the Lookout Mountain project. Because of the nature of exploration for precious metals, a property's exploration potential is not known until a significant amount of geologic information has been generated. We may spend significant resources exploring the Lookout Mountain project and gathering certain geologic information only to determine that the project is not capable of being a revenue-producing property for us.

Our business is subject to evolving corporate governance and public disclosure regulations that have increased both our compliance costs and the risk of noncompliance, which could have an adverse effect on our stock price.

We are subject to changing rules and regulations promulgated by a number of governmental and self-regulated organizations, including the SEC, the NYSE MKT, and the Financial Accounting Standards Board. These rules and regulations continue to evolve in scope and complexity, and many new requirements have been created in response to laws enacted by Congress, making compliance more difficult and uncertain. For example, on July 21, 2010, Congress passed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") with increased disclosure obligations for public companies and mining companies in the United States. Our efforts to comply with the Dodd-Frank Act and other new regulations have resulted in, and are likely to continue to result in, increased general and administrative expenses and a diversion of management time and attention from revenue-generating activities to compliance activities.

We are required to comply with Canadian securities regulations and be subject to additional regulatory scrutiny in Canada.

We are a "reporting issuer" in the Canadian provinces of British Columbia and Alberta. As a result, our disclosure outside the United States differs from the disclosure contained in our SEC filings. Our reserve and resource estimates disseminated outside the United States are not directly comparable to those made in filings subject to SEC reporting and disclosure requirements, as we generally report reserves and resources in accordance with Canadian practices. These practices are different from the practices used to report reserve and resource estimates in reports and other materials filed with the SEC. It is Canadian practice to report measured, indicated, and inferred resources, which are generally not permitted in disclosure filed with the SEC. In the United States, mineralization may not be classified as a "reserve" unless the determination has been made that the mineralization could be economically and legally produced or extracted at the time the reserve determination is made. United States investors are cautioned not to assume that all or any part of measured or indicated resources will ever be converted into reserves. Further, "inferred resources" have a great amount of uncertainty as to their existence and as to whether they can be mined legally or economically. Disclosure of "contained ounces" is permitted disclosure under Canadian regulations; however, the SEC only permits issuers to report "resources" as in place tonnage and grade without reference to unit measures. Accordingly, information concerning descriptions of mineralization, reserves, and resources contained in disclosure released outside the United States may not be comparable to information made public by other United States companies subject to the reporting and disclosure requirements of the SEC.

We are also subject to increased regulatory scrutiny and costs associated with complying with securities legislation in Canada. For example, we are subject to civil liability for misrepresentations in written disclosure and oral statements. Legislation has been enacted in these provinces which creates a right of action for damages against a reporting issuer, its directors and certain of its officers in the event that the reporting issuer or a person with actual, implied, or apparent authority to act or speak on behalf of the reporting issuer releases a document or makes a public oral statement that contains a misrepresentation or the reporting issuer fails to make timely disclosure of a material change. We do not anticipate any particular regulation that would be difficult to comply with. However, failure to comply with regulations may result in civil awards, fines, penalties, and orders that could have an adverse effect on us.

Risks Associated With Our Common Stock

Our stock price has been volatile and your investment in our common stock could suffer a decline in value.

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE MKT and the TSX Venture Exchange. The market price of our common stock may fluctuate significantly in response to a number of factors, some of which are beyond our control. These factors include price fluctuations of precious metals, government regulations, disputes regarding mining claims, broad stock market fluctuations, and economic conditions in the United States and Canada.

We do not intend to pay any dividends on shares of our common stock in the near future.

We do not currently anticipate declaring and paying dividends to our shareholders in the near future, and any future decision as to the payment of dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon our earnings, financial position, capital requirements, plans for expansion, and such other factors as our board of directors deems relevant. It is our current intention to apply net earnings, if any, in the foreseeable future to finance the growth and development of our business.

Investors' interests in our Company will be diluted and investors may suffer dilution in their net book value per share if we issue additional employee/director/consultant options or if we sell additional shares to finance our operations.

We have not generated revenue from exploration since the commencement of our exploration stage in January 2004. In order to further expand our company and meet our objectives, any additional growth and/or expanded exploration activity may need to be financed through sale and issuance of additional shares, including, but not limited to, raising finances to explore our Eureka property. Furthermore, to finance any acquisition activity, should that activity be properly approved, and depending on the outcome of our exploration programs, we may also need to issue additional shares to finance future acquisitions, growth and/or additional exploration programs of any or all of our projects or to acquire additional properties. We may also in the future grant to some or all of our directors, officers, insiders, and key employees options to purchase our common shares as non-cash incentives. The issuance of any equity securities could, and the issuance of any additional shares will, cause our existing shareholders to experience dilution of their ownership interests.

If we issue additional shares or decide to enter into joint ventures with other parties in order to raise financing through the sale of equity securities, investors' interests in our Company will be diluted and investors may suffer dilution in their net book value per share depending on the price at which such securities are sold. As of the date of the filing of this report there are also outstanding options and warrants granted that are exercisable into 693,342 common shares. If all of these were exercised or converted, these would represent approximately 6.5% of our issued and outstanding shares. If all of these warrants and options are exercised and the underlying shares are issued, such issuance will cause a reduction in the proportionate ownership and voting power of all other shareholders. The dilution may result in a decline in the market price of our shares.

We are subject to the continued listing criteria of the NYSE MKT and the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX.V") and our failure to satisfy these criteria may result in delisting of our shares of common stock.

Our shares of common stock are currently listed on the NYSE MKT and the TSX.V. In order to maintain the listing, we must maintain certain share prices, financial, and share distribution targets, including maintaining a minimum amount of shareholders' equity and a minimum number of public shareholders. In addition to objective standards, the NYSE MKT and the TSX.V may delist the securities of any issuer if, in its opinion, the issuer's financial condition and/or operating results appear unsatisfactory; if it appears that the extent of public distribution or the aggregate market value of the security has become so reduced as to make continued listing on the NYSE MKT or TSX.V inadvisable; if the issuer sells or disposes of principal operating assets or ceases to be an operating company; if an issuer fails to comply with the listing requirements of the NYSE MKT or TSX.V; if an issuer's shares of common stock sell at what the NYSE MKT or the TSX.V considers a "low selling price" and the issuer fails to correct this via a reverse split of shares after notification by the NYSE MKT or TSX.V; or if any other event occurs or any condition exists which makes continued listing on the NYSE MKT or TSX.V, in their opinion, inadvisable.

On April 5, 2013, we announced that we had received notice from the NYSE MKT that if we did not adequately address the low selling price of our shares of common stock within a reasonable amount of time to the satisfaction of the NYSE MKT, we would not satisfy the continued listing standards of the NYSE MKT set forth in Section 1003(f)(v) of the NYSE MKT LLC Company Guide. On May 23, 2014 we received notice from the NYSE MKT that we were not satisfying the continued listing requirements of the NYSE MKT as a result of the continued low selling price of our shares of common stock. On October 31, 2014, in order to increase the selling price of our shares of common stock, we completed a one-for-twelve reverse stock split, and our stock began trading on a reverse-split adjusted basis on November 3, 2014.

On February 8, 2014, we announced that we had received notice from the NYSE MKT that we were not in compliance with one of the NYSE MKT's continued listing requirements as set forth in Section 1003(a)(iv) of the Company Guide in that we had sustained losses which were substantial in relation to our overall operations or our existing financial resources, or that our financial condition had become impaired such that it appeared questionable, in the opinion of the NYSE MKT, as to whether we would be able to continue operations and/or meet our obligations as they matured. On March 25, 2014, we announced that the NYSE MKT had accepted our proposed plan of compliance for us to meet the continued listing requirements of the NYSE MKT. With the acquisition of Wolfpack Nevada on August 15, 2014, we completed our plan to regain compliance with the continued listing requirements of the NYSE MKT.

On November 21, 2014, we were advised by the NYSE MKT that we had regained compliance with their continued listing standards regarding both our financial condition and the low selling price of our shares of common stock.

If the NYSE MKT or the TSX.V delists our shares of common stock, investors may face material adverse consequences, including, but not limited to, a lack of trading market for our securities, reduced liquidity, decreased analyst coverage of our securities, and an inability for us to obtain additional financing to fund our operations.

ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

Not Applicable.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES

Summary of Timberline's Mineral Exploration Prospects

As of December 2014, we have acquired mineral prospects for exploration in Nevada, Montana, Idaho, and California mainly for target commodities of gold and silver. The prospects are held by both patented and unpatented mining claims owned directly by us or through legal agreements conveying exploration and development rights to us. Most of our prospects have had a prior exploration history, and this is typical in the mineral exploration industry. Most mineral prospects go through several rounds of exploration before an economic ore body is discovered, and prior work often eliminates targets or points to new ones. Also, prior operators may have explored under a completely different commodity price structure or technological regime. Mineralization which was uneconomic in the past may be ore grade at current market prices when extracted and processed with modern technology.

Nevada Gold Properties

Eureka (Battle Mountain/Eureka Trend)

We acquired the Eureka property as part of our acquisition of Staccato Gold Resources Ltd. ("Staccato Gold") and its wholly owned subsidiary, BH Minerals USA, Inc. ("BH Minerals"), in June 2010. Eureka comprises an area of approximately 15,000 acres or more than 23 square miles. The property's northern boundary is located approximately 1 mile south of the town of Eureka, Nevada, in the Eureka Mining district within the Battle Mountain – Eureka Mineral Trend, also referred to as the Cortez Trend.

The Eureka property has no known reserves, as defined under Guide 7, and the proposed program for the property is exploratory in nature.

Property Description

The Eureka property is located in the southern part of the Eureka mining district of Eureka County, Nevada, within T19N, R53E and unsurveyed T17N and T18N, and R53E at the southern end of the Cortez Trend (Battle Mountain/Eureka Trend). The Eureka property is also within the bounds of the United States Geological Survey ("USGS") 1:24,000 scale 7.5 minute topographic series maps of the Pinto Summit and Spring Valley Summit quadrangles.



Explanation

Cities and Towns

- Eureka

Active Exploration

- High Priority
- Secondary
- Existing Open Pits
- Claim block
- Township Boundaries



imberline BH MINERALS US INC.
A wholly owned subsidiary of Timberline Resources Company

South Eureka Project Location

Eureka County, Nevada, Township 18 North, Range 53 East, Secs. 2 and 11, M.D.B. & M.

Date:	September 30, 2011	Scale:	1:150,000

All unpatented mining claims on the Eureka property have been located under the General Mining Laws of the United States on US Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) managed lands.

We pay federal and county claim maintenance fees on the Eureka property. The federal claim fees are due to the BLM by September 1st each year, and the remainder is due to Eureka County by November 1st each year. The following table summarizes the claims and royalties for the Eureka property:

Eureka Property Claim and Royalty Summary

Property Name & Agreements/Royalties	Type of Claim	Number of Claims	Area
Lookout Mountain: Mining lease and agreement dated August 22, 2003, and amended on June 1, 2008, between Timberline and Rocky Canyon Mining Company; 3.5% Net Smelter Return (NSR) royalty + 1.5% NSR royalty capped at \$1.5 million (excludes Trevor and Dave claims); 20 year lease term commencing June 1, 2008; annual advanced royalty payment of \$72,000. (Pending legal opinion, additional 2% NSR possible)	Unpatented	378	6,471 acres
South Ratto: Timberline holds title; 4% NSR	Unpatented	108	2,148 acres
Hamburg Ridge: Timberline holds title, under lease from DFH, a subsidiary of Royal Gold. Timberline currently pays all claim fees.			
Hoosac 4% NSR + 2% NSR + 0.75% NSR	Unpatented	98	1,434 acres
Little Rosa (Hoosac royalty applies)	Patented	1	
North Amselco 4% NSR + 1% NSR + 1.6% NSR + 0.75% NSR	Unpatented	94	1,707 acres
Rambler (North Amselco royalty applies)	Patented	1	
South Rustler/W-Claims Claims owned by DFH Co., a subsidiary of Royal Gold, Inc.; Draft agreement in place pursuant to which Timberline would acquire the 16 claims, subject to the following royalties: 4% NSR + 2% NSR + 0.75% NSR. The Hoosac/North Amselco lease agreement would then cancel.	Unpatented	16	278 acres
Silverado/TL 12 1%-1.5% NSR pending agreement	Unpatented	47	971 acres
Secret Canyon/Oswego: Timberline holds title. (Includes 2 mill sites on Syracuse 1 & 2) (Pending legal opinion, 2% NSR possible)	Unpatented	111	1,937 acres
1% NSR	Patented	6	
Windfall: Timberline holds title; 4% NSR	Patented	13	166 acres
New York Canyon: Timberline holds title; 4% NSR + 1.5% NSR	Unpatented	45	855 acres
3-5% NSR	Patented	2	
Total Unpatented Lode Claims		897	15,967 acres
Total Patented Lode Claims		23	

We have the right to explore and develop the Lookout Mountain project subject to a mining lease and agreement dated August 22, 2003 with Rocky Canyon Mining Company, and amended on June 1, 2008. The lease term was extended to 20 years on June 1, 2008, and thereafter for as long as minerals are mined on the project. Advanced royalty payments are \$6,000 per month, or \$72,000 per annum. The work commitment on the project has been fulfilled. A 3.5% net smelter return royalty, plus a 1.5% net smelter return royalty capped at \$1.5 million (excludes Trevor and Dave claims) exists on the project. In addition, a 2% NSR royalty may exist, pending a legal opinion that is forthcoming.

During the year ended September 30, 2012, we acquired the Windfall patented claims. The claims were acquired in exchange for \$400,000 cash as well as 76,662 shares of our common stock with a value of \$500,000, based upon the weighted-average closing price of our common stock on the NYSE MKT during the 15 days prior to the acquisition. A 4% net smelter return royalty exists on these claims.

The Secret Canyon/Oswego, South Ratto, and New York Canyon projects are owned by us subject to royalty agreements. Net smelter return royalties up to 5.5% exist on the projects. Pending a legal opinion that is forthcoming, the same 2% NSR royalty referenced above may exist on the Hiero/Syracuse project.

The Hamburg Ridge project is composed of the Hoosac, North Amselco, and South Rustler/W claim groups. The Hoosac and North Amselco claims are owned by us and are currently under lease to DFH Co., a subsidiary of Royal Gold, Inc. No payments are due under the lease agreement. The South Rustler/W-Claims are owned by DFH Co. A draft agreement is in place pursuant to which we would acquire the South Rustler/W-Claims, and the Hoosac/North Amselco lease agreement would cancel. Net smelter return royalties ranging from 6.75% to 7.35% exist on the projects. We currently pay all of the claim maintenance fees for the Hamburg Ridge project.

Accessibility, Physiography, Climate and Infrastructure

The Eureka property is located approximately one mile south and southwest of the town of Eureka, within the southern part of the Eureka Mining district of Eureka County, Nevada. The Eureka property is located at the southeastern end of the Battle Mountain/Eureka Trend (Cortez Trend) of gold and base-metal deposits in north-central Nevada.

The Eureka property is situated in north-central Nevada in an area with established mining infrastructure. Transmission power lines serve Eureka from the north. All essential services such as food and lodging are available in Eureka, including the dockage for shipments of heavy equipment. A small airport at Eureka is available for private air transport. Railroad access also is available in the area. The gold mines of north-central Nevada continue to produce a significant portion of the world's gold, and skilled miners and mining professionals are available in Eureka, and 100 miles to the north in Carlin, Elko, and Spring Creek. Permitting a mining operation in Nevada has been a process with which local, state, and federal regulators are very familiar and generally cooperative.

Terrain on the Eureka property is rugged, with high ridges, steep canyons, and narrow valleys. Elevations range from 7,000 to 9,000 feet. Ridges show abundant bedrock exposures, slopes and valleys are typically covered by soil and alluvium. Sagebrush abounds in lower-elevation areas while juniper and pinion cover the higher elevations. Grasses and shrubs grow on the highest ridge tops. The climate of the project area is semi-arid with the area receiving moderate winter snows and occasional summer thunderstorms, with heavy rain from time to time during otherwise hot and dry summers. In winter, access is not maintained off the paved roads and November snow commonly lingers until April.

U.S. Highway 50 passes to the east of the Eureka property and access is gained by heading south out of Eureka on the U.S. Highway 50 and connecting with unpaved local roads, some of which are periodically maintained by Eureka County. The turnoff for the New York Canyon claim group is about a half mile south of Eureka on U.S. Highway 50 and is an unpaved road running up New York Canyon to the east side of the claim group.

The Windfall group and the northern parts of the Hoosac and Lookout Mountain groups are accessed by the Windfall Canyon Road and its westward extension (the former haul road for the Lookout Mountain Mine), which turns southwest off U.S. Highway 50 approximately 2 miles south of Eureka.

The southern parts of the Eureka property are accessed by traveling approximately 8 miles south of Eureka on U.S. Highway 50 to South Gate, then 1 mile south-southwest on the Fish Creek Valley road to the unimproved Secret Canyon Road, then northwest to the southern part of the Hoosac claims. Approximately 2 miles from South Gate on the Fish Creek Valley Road, a turnoff to the west and northwest on the Ratto Canyon Road accesses the southern portion of the Lookout Mountain group. Many dirt tracks within the Eureka property allow additional access.

Summer temperatures usually consist of many consecutive days over 90° F (32.2° C), and temperatures can reach as high as 100° F (40.6° C) or more. Winter temperatures generally range from as cold as below 0° F (17.8°C) to usually in the 20° to 35°F (-6.67° to 1.7 ° C) range. Precipitation amounts vary from year to year, averaging about 10.0 inches (25.4 cm) for the area. Several feet of snow usually accumulate on the property during the winter months.

Historic Exploration

The most significant exploration on the Eureka property has been the drilling programs mounted over recent years. Such exploration on the Eureka property spans a period of over twenty years. Drilling on the Hoosac and Windfall claims date from Norse-Windfall (63 holes, 1970s-1980s), Amselco (8 holes, mid-1980s), Tenneco (18 holes, 1989-1991), Pathfinder (18 holes, 1993), and Pathfinder/Cambior (36 holes, 1995-1997). On the Lookout Mountain claim group, drilling programs began with Amselco (296 holes, 1978-1985) followed by the Windfall group (20 holes, 1986), EFL Gold Company (10 holes, 1990), Barrick (40 holes, 1992-93), and Echo Bay (70 holes, 1994-95). The drilling programs were conducted concurrent with and guided by extensive geologic mapping, geochemical rock and soil sampling programs, and air and ground geophysics. Geological mapping and geochemical programs were very successful in discovering target areas characterized by permissive structures and traces of gold with arsenic, antimony, and mercury toxic element anomalies in soil and rock.

The methods of collection and analyses of some historical soil and rock samples were not always available in the data, but it is likely that the samples were collected, documented, prepared, and analyzed to the standards of professional diligence and analytical techniques applicable at the time. The importance of a geochemical-geological exploration approach is evidenced by the fact that the drilling of many such anomalies has resulted in significant indications of disseminated gold mineralization. The Windfall, Rustler, and Paroni deposits on the Windfall claims and the Lookout Mountain group were discovered by drilling soil and rock anomalies in permissive structural and stratigraphic settings. Drill testing of several geochemical anomalies in permissive geological settings has also resulted in the discovery of several promising zones of gold mineralization on the Hamburg Ridge, Windfall, and Lookout Mountain claim groups. As yet, these zones have not been fully tested.

Amselco Exploration began exploring the Lookout Mountain project in 1978, conducting extensive geologic mapping, soil and rock sampling and an initial 15-hole reverse circulation drilling program which tested gold mineralization along the Ratto Ridge Fault and associated geochemical anomalies and jasperoids developed along the N-S trending Ratto Ridge. This drilling discovered significant sediment-hosted disseminated gold mineralization at depth. Amselco drilled 296 holes between 1978 and 1985, also discovering five areas of gold mineralization along Ratto Ridge which contain partially developed gold resources. These areas are located at South Lookout Mountain, Pinnacle Peak, Triple Junction, South Ratto Ridge, and South Adit. In 1986, while Amselco was in process of becoming BP Minerals, Amselco management decided that the Lookout Mountain deposit was not of further interest even though their geologists reportedly believed the deposit had significant potential. The property was optioned to a joint venture of three companies which then owned Norse-Windfall Mines, the Eureka Venture.

In 1990, EFL Gold Mines took bulk samples from the floor of the Lookout Mountain pit. These samples returned assays values ranging from 0.10 to 0.135 oz of gold/ton. EFL also drilled nine holes, two of which, drilled 500 feet (152 meters) into the floor of the pit, showed both oxide and sulfide gold mineralization.

Barrick (1992-93) completed geologic mapping, took more than 500 soil samples to expand and fill in Amselco's soil grid, and drilled 42 widely spaced holes, primarily along Ratto Ridge. Drilling targeted favorable stratigraphy at depth near fault intersections. Barrick discovered that geochemical anomalies are apparently controlled by E-NE and N-NW to NW trending cross structures which intersect the N-S trending Ratto Ridge Fault. Much of the Barrick work focused on the deeper potential in Cambrian Dunderberg Shale east of the Ratto Ridge Fault, and potential in the Devonian Nevada Group, especially the Bartine Limestone west of the fault. Outcrops of Bartine Limestone in the area show weak gold mineralization, strong alteration, and anomalous pathfinder element geochemistry. Barrick drilled 42 holes to a maximum depth of approximately 1,300 feet and encountered several gold intercepts.

Work by Barrick also included air and ground geophysics and a stratigraphic and mineralogic geochemical study in conjunction with geologic mapping to develop and prioritize several target areas. Approximately 800 rock samples were collected and had high-quality multi-element analyses run, ICP and graphite furnace analyses at MB Associates in California, and ICP and neutron activation analysis at Activation Laboratories in Canada. Mapping, together with results of the Magmagem geochemistry, indicated that mineralization was apparently strongly controlled by E-NE and N-NW to NW trending cross structures near or at the point they intersect the N-S trending Ratto Ridge Fault where the Cambrian Dunderberg Shale and Hamburg Dolomite occur. Ultimately, Barrick drilled 42 reverse circulation holes. However, geological and geochemical targets, or additional drilling in areas of known mineralization previously discovered by Amselco found insufficient mineralization to meet Barrick's objectives. It should be noted that the potential for mineralization west of the Ratto Ridge crest has not been explored adequately.

Echo Bay (1993-95) not only worked Ratto Ridge but also acquired additional ground to the north, south, and southwest. They conducted mapping, sampling, and scattered drilling in the area, exploring deep high-grade potential in the Cambrian Dunderberg Shale and Hamburg Dolomite, and testing Devonian Nevada Group targets west of the Ratto Ridge Fault. Echo Bay drilled several promising holes, including drill hole EBR 27 which intersected 110 feet grading 0.043 oz of gold/ton in the Dunderberg, and drill hole EBR-9 which intersected 115 feet grading 0.043 oz of gold/ton in the Nevada Group. Offsets of EBR-9 found 90 feet grading 0.028 oz of gold/ton, and another hole which was lost before reaching planned depth found 45 feet of 0.024 oz of gold/ton. Further offsets of EBR-9 and several widely spaced holes averaging 1,000 feet deep (EBR 15, 16, 17, 18, and 20) found some anomalous gold along Ratto Ridge but no major intercepts. Eventually, the Echo Bay project totaled 104 RC holes. Faced with depletion of budgets with no significant exploration success, the decline in gold prices and large land payments, Echo Bay decided to drop the property.

On the Windfall, Hamburg Ridge, and New York Canyon claim groups, Bill Wilson of the Idaho Mining Corp, then Windfall Venture, later Norse-Windfall, initiated reconnaissance mapping, soil and rock chip sampling, trenching, and drilling in the early 1970s. He noted that the original underground Windfall Mine, which was discovered in 1908 and produced approximately 65,000 tons of "invisible gold" mineralized rock grading 0.368 oz/ton, was a Carlin-type sediment-hosted disseminated gold occurrence. Wilson's work emphasized the east side of Hamburg Ridge, the Windfall Trend, where he drilled, with conventional air rotary, holes F1 through F20, and Z-1 through Z-31, Z42, and ZA-1 on the current Windfall group. He drilled holes Z32-41 on the Hoosac group. The drill holes were generally from 50 to 250 feet deep. Six of Wilson's original forty-three Z-holes intersected gold mineralization exceeding 0.02 oz of gold/ton. This success led to infill drilling and the development of the Windfall open pit mine in 1975, and soon thereafter, the Rustler and Paroni open pit mines. Gold was extracted in a heap-leach operation from sanded and silicified dolomite and silicified shale.

No geologic maps exist from this period other than a few maps compiled from USGS work. Although many drill hole location maps are archived in Century Gold files, the coordinates for many drill hole collars are not available, very few collars are visible in the field, and assay data from infill drilling is poorly documented.

From 2005-2007, core drilling programs completed by Staccato Gold provided data to better define stratigraphy in the higher-grade breccia-hosted gold zones at the Lookout Mountain pit, and discovered new areas of mineralization. The core drilling and drill hole relogging program demonstrated the stratabound nature of gold mineralization in thick zones of collapse breccia within carbonate rock flanking the Ratto Ridge structural zone. Metallurgical and other technical characteristics of known mineralization at Lookout Mountain are currently being investigated.

In order to improve understanding of the technical aspects of the project and evaluate the potential of the Eureka property, Staccato Gold initiated a comprehensive work program in June 2008. The program included geologic modeling that incorporated structural and stratigraphic controls to mineralization, additional density determinations, and new drilling and metallurgical test data. Results of this work have been incorporated into our exploration programs.

The Lookout Mountain project and Windfall project areas have now been mapped and sampled, including a detailed program conducted over the main mineralization area. The principal objectives of the mapping and sampling program are to characterize offsets along the main mineralized fault zones at Windfall and Lookout Mountain, identify orientations of mineralized cross structures intersecting the main structural zones, and follow up on soil anomalies. The mapping program, combined with surface sampling and acquisition of historic data, has provided a clearer understanding of the structures along the Ratto Ridge and Windfall areas, as well as identified several significant new exploration target areas.

Over 400 drill holes have been re-logged along Ratto Ridge to ensure geologic consistency with surface mapping, and, based on this work, new geologic cross sections and plans have been constructed for the entire Lookout Mountain deposit. Geologic grade shells have also been built, and construction of a 3-D model of the geology, based on the results of historic drill re-logging and mapping efforts, is ongoing. This new work has led to an updated mineralization estimate that resolves past technical issues, and provides us with a plan for advancing the property into the scoping/pre-feasibility study phase following one more round of drilling.

An exploration Plan of Operations has been approved by the BLM and the State Department of Environmental Protection (“NDEP”) for the Lookout Mountain project. The Plan of Operations calls for approximately 266 acres of disturbance that can be accessed for use in a phased approach, and covers the entire Ratto Ridge structural zone. The Plan of Operations will allow us to complete additional infill, metallurgical, and exploration drilling necessary to advance the development of the Lookout Mountain project.

Windfall

Staccato Gold initiated detailed mapping and sampling programs and completed a ten-hole drill program totaling 8,030 feet at the Windfall project in October 2009. The drilling program focused on testing the extent of gold mineralization below the Windfall and Rustler open pits, located approximately 3 miles northeast of the main Lookout Mountain project mineralization area. The Windfall project is one of several prospective gold projects on our extensive Eureka property in Nevada.

Results from the surface mapping program, review of historic production and geologic maps, and drilling indicate that high-grade gold is locally controlled within cross structures cutting the main Windfall fault zone, at the contact between the Hamburg Dolomite and Dunderberg Shale. The 2009 drill program tested approximately 3,600 feet of the Windfall fault zone with wide spaced drilling. The Windfall fault zone is part of an extensive mineralized structural trend which extends for over 17,000 feet based on historic data.

All holes in the 2009 exploration program encountered thick intercepts of low-grade gold (holes 5–12) or anomalous gold mineralization (holes 13 and 14) within the Windfall fault zone. The offset and exploration holes drilled define the Windfall fault zone as a 150 to 200-foot thick zone striking roughly north-south and dipping approximately 60 degrees to the east, containing two or more significant zones of mineralization.

Five of the ten holes were drilled as offsets to follow up on the high-grade gold intercept drilled in hole 4 (75 feet at 0.153 ounces of gold/ton), and five were drilled as exploratory holes to test the strike and dip extent of the Windfall fault zone. Several thick intercepts of gold mineralization were returned, including 135 feet at 0.011 ounces of gold/ton in hole 7, 135 feet at 0.016 ounces of gold/ton in hole 8, 115 feet at 0.010 ounces of gold/ton in hole 9, and 100 feet at 0.018 ounces of gold/ton in hole 11.

A secondary hanging wall structure identified by the mapping program was also encountered in drill holes 7, 8, 11, and 13 and is characterized by strong silicification and decalcification of Windfall Formation and Dunderberg shale in the hanging wall side of the fault, and Dunderberg shale and Hamburg Dolomite on the footwall side. Drilling indicates a down to the east offset of the Dunderberg – Hamburg contact. This secondary structure represents an attractive and untested target at depth.

Timberline continues to assess the potential of the prospective Windfall fault zone at depth and on strike along the regional trend, with additional surface mapping, sampling, geophysical surveys, and exploration drilling programs planned, including a 6-hole program that was completed in 2011.

Eureka Property Ownership

Staccato amended the Lookout Mountain project lease agreement in June 2008. The lease term was extended to 20 years, and thereafter for as long as minerals are mined on the project. Advanced royalty payments are \$72,000 per annum. Pursuant to the amended lease, annual minimum exploration expenditures of \$250,000 are required for five years commencing on June 1, 2008, and an additional expenditure of \$250,000 is required before June 1, 2016, for a total minimum work commitment of \$1,500,000. Exploration expenditures in excess of \$250,000 in any year can be accumulated and carried forward and credited to expenditures required in succeeding years. We have fulfilled the work commitment on the Lookout Mountain project.

Other projects that comprise the extensive Eureka property, including the Secret Canyon/Oswego, South Ratto, and New York Canyon projects, and a large portion of the Hamburg Ridge project, are owned by us subject to underlying royalty agreements. We are in the process of consolidating the ownership of a portion of the Hoosac/North Amselco project that is currently held by another party.

Eureka Exploration

We are of the opinion that the Eureka property has excellent potential for continued exploration success both at the deposit scale and on the regional scale. The current Lookout Mountain mineralization is defined over a relatively small area at the north end of a mineralized structural corridor that extends for several thousand feet across the property, and up to 4 to 5 kilometers (2.5 to 3 miles) in strike length. This structure hosts several areas of drill indicated mineralization and the exploration potential in this corridor is strong, as evidenced by historic drilling, and soil and rock geochemical analyses. The Lookout Mountain mineralization itself is open for expansion at depth and along strike, especially to the south. Regionally, several other target areas also exist where historic production and exploration have occurred, but only limited systematic exploration has been conducted.

From 2010 through 2012, we completed aggressive exploration work programs totaling approximately \$9,000,000 on the Eureka property. Program objectives were to obtain sufficient data to prepare an NI 43-101 compliant technical report, conduct initial gold recovery studies, initiate environmental baseline investigations, better understand the controls of mineralization, and to outline additional exploration drill targets. The 2010-2012 exploration programs achieved these objectives through:

- 16,675 feet of core drilling focused primarily for metallurgical and geotechnical scoping studies;
- 46,965 feet of reverse circulation (RC) drilling directed primarily at resource in-fill and definition drilling;
- Drill testing of high-grade sulfide lenses within the oxide mineralization to better understand the geologic controls and geometry;
- Metallurgical testing on core samples to initially define heap leach characteristics and process parameters;
- Channel sampling and bulk sampling within the historic Lookout Mountain pit for bench-scale metallurgical testing;
- Identification of additional exploration targets on the Eureka Property outside of the main Lookout Mountain Project area through detailed geologic mapping and sampling;
- Drilling and construction of additional groundwater monitoring wells for hydrological characterization;
- Initiation of geotechnical pit-wall stability and heap leach pad alternative studies; and
- Initiation of additional baseline hydrology and environmental geochemistry studies directed at state and BLM permitting.

Regional exploration was also advanced in the district during 2012. Complementing previous work, geologic mapping and ground magnetic surveys undertaken during the year complete district-wide coverage. Key successes of the 2012 drill program include demonstration of strong continuity in mineralization at the Lookout Mountain Project, and initial identification of high-grade gold mineralization down-dip of the current mineralization.

An initial technical report entitled, *Technical Report on the Lookout Mountain Project, Eureka County, Nevada, USA*, compliant with NI 43-101 (“2011 Technical Report”), was completed on May 2, 2011. The Technical Report was prepared by Mine Development Associates (“MDA”) of Reno, Nevada under the supervision of Michael M. Gustin, Senior Geologist, who is a qualified person under NI 43-101. The Technical Report details mineralization at the Lookout Mountain Project. In addition, significant exploration potential is noted.

The Technical Report was modeled and estimated by MDA by statistical evaluation of available drill data utilizing geologic interpretations provided by us. The geologic interpretations were used to constrain gold mineral domains on vertical cross sections spaced at 50- to 100-foot intervals across the extents of the Lookout Mountain mineralization. The cross sections were rectified to the mineral-domain interpretations on level plans spaced at 10-foot intervals, analyzing the modeled mineralization geostatistically to aid in the establishment of estimation parameters, and interpolating grades into a three-dimensional block model.

The final drill results of the 2011 exploration program were successfully incorporated into the NI 43-101 compliant “*Updated Technical Report on the Lookout Mountain Project, Eureka County, Nevada, USA*” issued by MDA on May 31, 2012 (“2012 Technical Report”). As a result of the 2011 exploration program, we successfully extended the mineralized zone at Lookout Mountain 600 feet to the south of the existing mineral deposit, and expanded mineralization along the west margin of the deposit. Results from Lookout Mountain, and from the South Adit area, significantly increased the reported mineralization at the Lookout Mountain Project.

During the year ended September 30, 2013, we completed our 2012 exploration program at Lookout Mountain. This program focused on providing data for on-going metallurgical studies directed at characterization of gold mineralization recovery, and for initial assessment of pit-slope stabilities. Permitting-related activities were advanced through completion of quarterly water monitoring, and installation of three monitoring wells. Scoping-level investigations for location of site facilities (heap leach pads, mine rock storage, access roads) have also been prepared in advance of an anticipated Preliminary Economic Assessment (“PEA”) of the project. Assay results from drilling during the 2012 exploration program were incorporated into an NI 43-101 compliant “*Updated Technical Report on the Lookout Mountain Project, Eureka County, Nevada, USA*” issued by MDA on April 11, 2013 (“2013 Technical Report”).

Since completion of the 2012 program, exploration activities at Eureka have been curtailed as a result of the limited availability of capital. We have, however, continued limited studies on geochemical waste rock environmental characterization, independent metallurgical testing, and continued to monitor water quality and defined hydrologic work plans. In addition, geologic mapping, stratigraphic, and structural geologic analyses have been completed along with rock and soil sampling in selected detailed areas. This activity has resulted in identification of new targets characterized by anomalous mineralogy and trace element geochemistry as indicators of possible gold mineralization. In addition, we consolidated our Elko field office into our Eureka facility in order to reduce ongoing expenses.

There are no proven and probable reserves as defined under Guide 7 at the Eureka property and our activities there remain exploratory in nature.

In fiscal 2015, we intend to advance metallurgical testing; perform initial drill testing of deep, higher-grade targets at Lookout Mountain, Oswego, and Hoosac; and perform additional strategic, in-fill drilling at Windfall which we expect to lead to the calculation of a block of mineralized material at Windfall. The expenditures for these exploration programs are discretionary and may be scaled back depending upon the availability of capital to us. For further details on the exploration budget for the Eureka Property, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation – Mineral Exploration – Eureka Property Plans and Budget."

Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors: The 2011 – 2013 Technical Reports use the terms "mineral resource", "measured mineral resource", "indicated mineral resource", and "inferred mineral resource." We advise investors that these terms are defined in and required to be disclosed by NI 43-101; however, these terms are not defined terms under Guide 7 and are normally not permitted to be used in reports and registration statements filed with the SEC. See "Cautionary Note to U.S. Investors Regarding Mineral Reserve and Resource Estimates," above.

ICBM Project

The ICBM Joint Venture Project (Timberline/Barrick) is located in the Battle Mountain Mining District, Lander County, Nevada. The land position consists of 526 hectares (1,300 acres) on BLM-administered lands. The drilling to date has demonstrated that mineralization is present and is localized along the contacts of Cambrian sediments and altered granodiorite. This style of mineralization is being mined at Newmont's Fortitude/Phoenix complex to the south. We are the operator of the joint venture, and currently hold a 72% interest in the project, with Barrick Gold holding the remaining interest.

In December of 2013, an agreement was entered between the ICBM Joint Venture and Americas Gold Exploration, Inc. ("AGE"), to continue exploration at the project. Under the terms of the agreement, AGE may earn up to 76.6% ownership in the property by making certain exploration expenditures over a four-year period. AGE also assumed the role as operator of the joint venture.

As of September 30, 2014, we do not consider the ICBM prospect to be a material property. No material future expenditures are planned on the prospect at this time.

White Rock Project

In January 2011 we entered into a mining lease, with an option to purchase a 100% interest, for the White Rock property, which encompasses 2,060 acres in the northeastern portion of Elko County, Nevada. The terms of the agreement to earn a 100% interest include a series of advance royalty payments, ranging from \$20,000 to \$50,000 annually, granting of a 3% NSR to the property owner, and an option to purchase all claims comprising the property for \$100,000.

The White Rock property is an exploration project encompassing a large, low grade, structurally controlled epithermal gold system with similarities to other well-known Nevada gold deposits such as Sleeper, Paradise Peak, and Aurora/Borealis. Historical exploration during the period from 1988 to 1994 validated widespread gold mineralization within the property. Since acquiring the property, we have completed detailed geologic mapping, soil and rock chip geochemical sampling, a ground magnetics survey, and spectrophotometry surveys. Based on results of those surveys and prioritization of our projects, we have determined that an impairment in the value of our White Rock project existed at September 30, 2014, with the entire carrying value amount of \$100,000 written off as impairment of mineral properties. We have subsequently returned the property to the underlying owners as of October 9, 2014.

Toole Springs Project

In August 2011 we entered into a mining lease, with an option to purchase a 100% interest, for the Red Rock Project and Cedar Project, collectively referred to as the Toole Springs Project, which encompasses 4,020 acres along the Carlin Trend in Elko County, Nevada. The terms of the agreement to earn a 100% interest include a series of advance royalty payments, ranging from \$20,000 to \$100,000 annually and the granting of a 3% NSR to the property owner.

The Toole Springs Project represents an early-stage gold-exploration target. It is located in favorable stratigraphy within the Carlin Trend and is a pediment play (gravel covered). Limited exploration has been undertaken since we acquired the property, including compilation of historic data, a ground magnetic survey, and biogeochemical sampling. We anticipate undertaking limited additional surface exploration work on the project in the future, to be followed by a drilling program.

As of September 30, 2014, we do not consider Toole Springs to be a material property and no material expenditures are planned at this time.

Seven Troughs District

During the year ended September 30, 2012, we announced the acquisition from CIT Microprobe Holdings, LLC (California Institute of Technology) ("CIT") of 3,900 acres of patented and unpatented mining claims comprising essentially the entire Seven Troughs gold mining district near Lovelock, Nevada. Terms of the purchase agreement included a cash payment of \$50,000 and a 2-percent NSR production royalty reserved to CIT, with a standard royalty buy down clause.

Seven Troughs is an epithermal gold district recognized as yielding some of the highest gold production grades in Nevada history through small-scale operations in the early 20th century. We believe the district has the potential to host a large precious metals system similar to the high-grade gold and silver veins of Japan's world-renowned Hishikari epithermal gold mine.

We are under no obligation to make exploration expenditures at Seven Troughs. Since acquiring the property, we have initiated the compilation of historic data and completed a limited geochemical sampling and spectrophotometry survey within the district. During 2014, historic mine workings have been compiled into an electronic 3-D model, and exploration activities included geologic mapping, rock sampling, and mineralogy spectrophotometric analysis.

As of September 30, 2014, we do not consider Seven Troughs to be a material property and no material expenditures are planned at this time.

Iron Butte/Dave Knight Mineral Properties

During the year ended September 30, 2013, we announced that we had entered into a Lease and Option-to-Purchase Agreement (the "Knight Agreement") to evaluate, explore, and develop a package of mineral claims in Nevada comprised of six separate properties, including the Iron Butte Project. The properties, primarily focused in the productive gold trends of central Nevada, were acquired from David Knight, a well-known and respected professional Nevada geologist. All of the leased properties exhibit anomalous gold values in outcrop or in historic drill holes.

The Iron Butte Project is located along the southern boundary of the prolific Battle Mountain - Eureka Gold Trend. Over 100,000 feet of drilling have previously been undertaken on the property, outlining gold mineralization along a strike distance of 9,000 feet proximal to the range front with an overall width of 4,000 feet within structural intersections in volcanic rocks and Paleozoic sediments.

The Knight Agreement provided for the issuance of 75,000 restricted shares of our common stock on the effective date of the Knight Agreement, as well as an additional 83,334 restricted shares of common stock in September 2014; and 125,000 shares of restricted common stock in March 2016. The shares of restricted common stock issued on the effective date of the Knight Agreement had a value of \$306,000, based upon the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE MKT on the date of issuance. The shares of restricted stock to be issued in September 2014 were issued in October 2014, subsequent to approval by the NYSE MKT, and had a value of \$80,000, based upon the closing price of our common stock on the NYSE MKT on the date prior to the due date for the share issuance.

No cash payments are required under the Knight Agreement until March 2015 when annual advance royalty payments of \$25,000 commence. The option to purchase the claims, subject to the reservation of a royalty, is exercisable at any time prior to March 2017. Should we exercise the purchase option, the purchase price includes a cash payment of \$2,000,000, not due until March 2017. The Knight Agreement does not require any annual work commitments, and provides us with a first right of refusal on certain other Nevada properties controlled by Mr. Knight and currently under lease to third parties.

During the year ended September 30, 2014, the Knight Agreement was amended to include additional mineral claims in Nevada in exchange for 16,667 restricted shares of our common stock. As of the date of filing this report, we hold 590 mineral claims on seven separate properties, comprising a total of nearly 11,000 acres under this Knight Agreement, as amended.

With limited availability of capital since entering the Knight Agreement, exploration activities during 2013 and 2014 have been limited to geologic mapping and re-evaluation of the project geologic model.

As of September 30, 2014, we do not consider the Iron Butte Project, or any of the other properties acquired under the Knight Agreement, as amended, to be a material property and no material expenditures are planned at this time.

Castle Black Rock/Other Wolfpack Gold Properties

With the acquisition of Wolfpack Gold, we acquired several mineral properties including Castle Black Rock. Castle Black Rock comprises 117 mining claims. The property is situated within the Walker Lane gold belt which is a 50-mile wide northwest-southeast trending zone of right lateral and associated normal faults which tapped deep-seated magmatic and hydrothermal fluids. Faulted and folded Paleozoic sedimentary rocks are intruded by Mesozoic plutonic rocks, with local thick sequences of overlying Miocene volcanics. At Castle Black Rock, epithermal-style gold mineralization is generally hosted within the volcanic rocks and is concentrated in four zones.

A small-scale open pit and heap leach mine operated at the site in 1988 by privately held Falcon Exploration Ltd. In 2000, previous owners engaged Bikerman and Associates who calculated an estimate of the mineralized material to be approximately 193,000 ounces of gold at an estimated grade of 0.014 ounces of gold per ton using a 0.007 ounces per ton cut-off grade.


Since our acquisition of the Castle Black Rock property, we have completed a due diligence review which included organization of the historic data and review of exploration work completed to-date.

In addition to Castle Black Rock, a total of nine other properties were retained after the acquisition of Wolfpack Gold. These other properties comprise five epithermal gold targets – four in western and northern Nevada and one in northeast California; three Carlin-type gold prospects within the Battle Mountain-Eureka and Carlin gold trends in Nevada; and one gold-bearing skarn in central Nevada. Three of the properties are currently under option to other parties.

All the additional properties are considered early-stage exploration projects. As of September 30, 2014, we do not consider the Castle Black Rock project, or any of the other properties acquired with Wolfpack Gold, to be a material property and no material expenditures are planned at this time.

Montana Gold Properties

Butte Highlands Gold Project



In July 2007, we closed our purchase of the Butte Highlands Gold Project, including 100-percent ownership of mineral rights, from Butte Highlands Mining Company for \$405,000 cash and 9,000 shares of our common stock. The project is located approximately 15 miles south of Butte, Montana in Silver Bow County. The property covers 1,142 acres consisting of a combination of patented and unpatented mining claims situated within Sections 31 and 32, Township 1 North, Range 7 West; Sections 5 and 6, Township 1 South, Range 7 West; and Section 1, Township 1 South, Range 8 West, Montana Principal Meridian. The property can be accessed utilizing motor vehicles via State Highway 2 and county and US Forest Service maintained, improved surface roads. The project is within a favorable geologic domain that has hosted several multi-million ounce gold deposits.

In October 2008, we announced that we had agreed to form a 50/50 joint venture at the Butte Highlands project. In July 2009, we finalized the joint venture agreement with Highland Mining, LLC (“Highland”) (an entity controlled by Ron Guill, a director of the Company, to create Butte Highlands JV, LLC (“BHJV”). Under terms of the joint venture agreement, development (as defined in the joint venture agreement) began in the summer of 2009, with Highland funding all costs through development. Both Timberline’s and Highland’s 50-percent share of costs will be paid out of proceeds from future mine production. Under the terms of the operating agreement, filed with this Annual Report as Exhibit 10.13, Highland will have preferential rights with respect to distributions until our investment is deemed equal to the investment by Highland. During the year ended September 30, 2012, Ron Guill, a director of the Company, sold his interest in Highland to a private corporation unrelated to the Company or to Mr. Guill.

Since the creation of BHJV, Butte Highlands has been advanced toward production (as defined in the joint venture agreement) through completion of an underground exploration ramp and a 52,000-foot underground core drilling program. The drilling program was designed to collect definition drill data to facilitate, with our assistance, a mine model for production planning purposes during the early years of the mine’s production life. In addition, BHJV continued to collect environmental baseline data as part of its application for an operating permit that was initially submitted during the year ended September 30, 2010 with the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (“MTDEQ”) to commence gold mining operations. During fiscal 2013 we received a notice of completeness and compliance from the MTDEQ, as well as a draft operating permit. Subsequent to our fiscal year end, but prior to filing this Annual Report, we also received notification that the MTDEQ had completed its Draft Environmental Impact Statement (“Draft EIS”) relative to the operating permit application. Receipt of the Draft EIS is a significant milestone in the process to obtaining the final operating permit to commence production.

Activities at Butte Highlands during fiscal 2012 and 2013 focused on advancing mine permitting with the MTDEQ toward a final Hard Rock Operating Permit. Supplemental baseline data to support the operating permit application was collected and provided to regulators. This data included characterization of wetlands, faunal study, surface water hydrology investigations, geochemistry, and an initial project water balance analysis. In addition, a Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“MPDES”) permit application was submitted and the final permit was granted in 2013. The MPDES is a critical requirement for further underground exploration and mine operation. In 2014, the MTDEQ advanced towards completion of a Final Environmental Impact Statement (“Final EIS”) for the project through development of responses to public comments on the Draft EIS. Mitigations to potential impacts to surface water flows after mining have been developed in agreement with Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, and the City and County of Butte-Silver Bow. The MTDEQ has scheduled release of the Final EIS for December 18, 2014. It is anticipated that the Record of Decision (“ROD”) on the Final EIS will be issued in early January, 2015 following a mandatory 15-day waiting period following the release of the Final EIS. Release of the final Hard Rock Operating Permit will follow after the ROD is issued and the State calculates a bond for reclamation of the project site.

Permitting also advanced during 2012 and 2013 with submittal of a proposed Plan of Operations with the United States Forest Service (“USFS”) for material haulage road use. The USFS completed site investigations and in March, 2014 published a Draft Environmental Assessment (“Draft EA”). Subsequent to release of the Draft EA, the USFS has collected public comments and continues to develop responses to such in anticipation of release of a Final Environmental Assessment in early 2015. Once the final Hard Rock Operating Permit is granted by the MTDEQ and the Plan of Operations is approved by the USFS, we expect BHJV to transition from exploration to gold mining operations.

In addition to progress in completion of permits by the MTDEQ and the USFS, the Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) processed an application by BHJV to modify a permit for discharge of mine waters. The proposed modification was approved with an issued Final Underground Injection Control Program Permit Modification on September 26, 2014. The modification allows flexibility of discharge of untreated water during exploration and mining-related activities.

Highland, our 50/50 joint venture partner on the project, will carry all costs incurred on the project until mining operations commence. We expect that the total costs incurred by Highland on the project to reach this milestone will be approximately \$35 to 40 million.

Butte Highlands Claim Summary

Claim Name(s)	Claim Type	Land Type	Rights	Ownership
BHC 1 thru BHC 61	Unpatented lode	Federal	mineral	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾
Humbug Hill 01-20	Mill site	Federal	none	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾
J.B. Thompson	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾
Main Ripple	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾
Murphy	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾
Only Chance	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾
Purchance	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾
Red Mountain	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾
Main Chance	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	100 % Richardson Family Trust ⁽²⁾
Island	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	100 % Richardson Family Trust ⁽²⁾
Atlantic	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	100 % Richardson Family Trust ⁽²⁾
Barnard	Patented lode	Private	mineral and surface	100 % Richardson Family Trust ⁽²⁾
Pony Placer	Patented lode	Private	surface	50 % Timberline Resources Corp. ⁽¹⁾

⁽¹⁾ Claims are owned 100% by Butte Highlands JV, LLC, in which Timberline Resources owns a 50% interest.

⁽²⁾ Timberline holds a lease option agreement on these claims subject to a mining lease/option to purchase agreement dated October 1, 2009.

All of the unpatented claims are exploration lode claims legally located under federal and state guidelines whereas each claim is 600 feet by 1500 feet encompassing 20 acres. Each claim is clearly marked with a 4 inch by 4 inch post or equivalent tree at each corner and a location monument is erected along the center line of the long direction of the claim. All BLM maintenance requirements have been met.

All of the private lands within the Butte Highlands property are historic lode and placer claims which were patented through the U.S. government patent process. We are responsible for paying yearly BLM maintenance fees on all unpatented mining claims and Montana state property taxes on all patented lands. All associated taxes and fees are paid up to date as of September 30, 2013. Electricity and water are readily available on the property. If necessary, additional electricity requirements may be met by augmenting the currently available electrical supply with generator power.

In 2009, we signed a Mining Lease/Option to Purchase Agreement with the Richardson Family Trust for certain patented claims as detailed in the table above. The agreement called for an initial payment of \$20,000 with annual payments of \$15,000, increasing to \$20,000 plus an annual inflation adjustment by October 2013, and remaining at that level thereafter. We will pay a 3-4% NSR royalty on any production from the Richardson Family Trust property, based upon the sale price of minerals produced from the property.

Gold mineralization at Butte Highlands is hosted primarily in lower Paleozoic Wolsey shale with higher-grade mineralization occurring within the sediments proximal to diorite sills and dikes. Between 1988 and 1996, prior operators Placer Dome, Battle Mountain, ASARCO, and Orvana Minerals demonstrated the presence of a wide and continuous mineralized zone by drilling 46 core holes (36,835 feet) and 132 reverse-circulation holes (61,338 feet) within the district. The vast majority of this drilling was conducted in the Nevin Hill area which is included in the Timberline property. Best gold intercepts achieved at Butte Highlands include 49.8 feet of 0.651 ounces per ton (oz/t) and 11.5 feet of 1.996 oz/t from surface drilling. From underground drilling, best gold intercepts include 33.6 feet of 1.65 oz/t, 31.0 feet of 1.060 oz/t and 14.3 feet of 2.37 oz/t.

In 1997, Orvana Minerals used recent and historic drilling data to prepare a report on the Butte Highlands property. The report provided a preliminary technical review of feasibility issues, identifying no fatal flaws to mine development. The report also noted that suitable sites for a mill and tailings pond are present on the property, custom milling at existing nearby facilities was feasible, and access to the deposit could be achieved with a decline from either of two existing portals.

Drilling by Timberline Resources

Four core holes were drilled in 2008 totaling 6,757 feet of drill core. The results were positive, resulting in confirmation and extension of the stratigraphic controlled mineralization to the northwest and the discovery of an additional zone at depth near the northwest end of the known mineralized extents. The drilling also indicated a potential zone of broader lower-grade material within the intrusive which may be amenable to bulk underground mining methods.

In 2009 BHJV completed a five-hole core drill program totaling 7,244 feet of drilling. Several intercepts grading above 0.10 ounces of gold per ton (oz/t) and a significant amount of skarn mineralization were encountered. At least one of the holes also provided geotechnical data for the proposed vent raise and for exploration. BHJV also initiated a Hydrologic Reverse Circulation Drill program during 2009. The program consisted of five holes completed for a total of 6,695 feet. Data collected during this program was used to model the hydrologic character of the mineralized area.

A seven-hole core drilling program was completed in 2010. The program had two purposes; one was to infill and expand mineralization within the known area of mineralized material, and the second was to test potential for mineralization to the east, outside the area of known mineralization. Three holes were drilled within the known extents of the mineralized area while four holes were drilled outside to the east of the known extents. Two of the holes drilled interior to the known mineralized extents had significant intercepts in them. Hole BHDDH10-02 had a 9.7-foot intercept grading 0.383 oz/t. This intercept is generally carried by a 1.72 foot intercept grading 0.768 oz/t. This drill hole had a couple additional shorter intercepts that with further exploration drilling may lead into additional ore zones. The first one is a 3.3-foot gold intercept grading 0.113 oz/t and the second is a 2-foot gold intercept grading 0.269 oz/t.

Hole BHDDH10-07 had sixteen intercepts greater than 0.029 oz/t. The overall intercept was 43.2 feet from 959.8 feet to 1003 feet at an average grade of 0.819 oz/t gold including one intercept from 971.9 feet to 974.1 feet of 2.2 feet grading 15.242 oz/t. There are other intercepts in the drill hole but all are less than 0.1 oz/t.

There were no mineable width significant intercepts in the outside exploration holes. Several short intercepts and intercepts of anomalous material were encountered but nothing of economic interest. Hole number BHDDH10-03 contained an intercept from 938.5 to 939.9 consisting of 1.4 feet grading 0.185 oz/t. Hole number BHDDH10-04 also contained a short intercept from 708.9 to 709.6 consisting of 0.7 feet grading 0.179 oz/t. Both of these intercepts were short zones on the contact between intrusive material and the Meagher formation. Although we did not encounter significant ore zone intercepts, strides in understanding the geology and genesis of the Butte Highlands gold mineralization were achieved.

In 2011, BHJV undertook a 52,000-foot underground definition drilling program. This program was designed to collect definition drill data to facilitate a mine model for production planning purposes during the early years of the mine's production life. This drilling encountered several significant intercepts within the known mineralized extents, including 33.6 feet grading 1.65 oz/t, 51.8 feet grading 0.43 oz/t, 26.9 feet grading 0.32 oz/t, and 14.3 feet grading 2.37 oz/t.

All of these intercept values were calculated using a sample length, weighted-average calculation or represent a single sample interval. The true widths of the intervals' lengths are estimated to be approximately 75-percent of the reported interval length.

Our President, Chief Executive Officer and Executive Chairman, Paul Dirksen, was a qualified person as defined by NI 43-101, and has reviewed and approved the technical contents of drilling results when they were received, including sampling, analytical, and test data. Field work was conducted under his supervision. Our sampling and analysis program included an industry standard QA/QC program. After photographing, core logging and cutting the sample intervals in half, the samples were shipped to ALS Chemex Laboratories in Elko, Nevada for preparation. The prepared pulps were then forwarded by ALS Chemex to their lab in Sparks, Nevada or their lab in Vancouver, BC Canada for analysis. The samples were analyzed for gold using a standard 30g fire assay with an AA finish. Samples returning a gold value in excess of 10 ppm were re-analyzed using a 30g fire assay with a gravimetric finish.

As of September 30, 2014, we have incurred exploration costs to date of approximately \$1,600,000. During the 2015 fiscal year, we do not plan to undertake any significant exploration work on the property. The focus at Butte Highlands in fiscal 2015 will be the completion of the permitting process, and the commencement of mining operations by our joint venture partner, Highland, upon the receipt of the final Hard Rock Operating Permit from the State of Montana and the Special Use Permit from the USFS.

Idaho Gold Property

The Spencer Prospect

The Spencer prospect covers 640 acres on the western end of the Kilgore-Spencer Trend, a northeast-trending belt of rhyolite volcanics known to host epithermal gold-silver mineralization, just south of a privately held opal mine about nine miles northeast of the town of Spencer, Idaho. We believe that the property has the potential to host both open-pit and underground gold deposits.

The geochemistry at Spencer is consistent with the upper levels of an epithermal system. Although there was considerable interest in the region during the 1980s and 1990s, the Spencer property has never been drill tested. We have performed a phase-one exploration program consisting of reconnaissance-scale geological mapping along with rock chip and soil geochemical sampling. Future work may include more detailed mapping and sampling, and possibly a geophysical survey to help define drill targets.

The Spencer Prospect is held by State of Idaho Department of Lands Mineral Lease No. 9347. The Mineral Lease was issued to a prior Director of Timberline, who assigned it to us for consideration of common stock and approximately \$3,000 in expenses. The prospect is located in Section 16, Township 12 North, Range 37 East, in Clark County, Idaho. The lease covers an area of approximately 640 acres and calls for annual payments to the State of Idaho of \$640. Royalties on production of precious metals are 5-percent of the gross receipts from the sale of minerals produced, less reasonable transportation, smelting and treatment costs.

As of September 30, 2014, we do not consider the Spencer prospect to be a material property. No material future expenditures are planned on the prospect at this time.

Idaho Copper-Silver Property

The Snowstorm Prospect

The Snowstorm Prospect (“Snowstorm”) is located in north Idaho’s “Silver Valley” and features the Snowstorm Mine, a historic operation that produced 800,000 tons of ore averaging 4-percent copper and 6 ounces per ton (oz/t) silver. Snowstorm mineralization occurred as disseminated copper and silver found in the same Revett Formation quartzites that host the Troy, Rock Creek, and Montanore deposits on the Montana Copper Sulfide Belt, but was of a much higher grade. The Snowstorm property, which is 2 miles northeast of the Lucky Friday Mine near Mullan in Shoshone County, Idaho, lies in the southwest corner of the Montana Copper Sulfide Belt where it overlaps the northeast corner of the Coeur d’Alene Mining District.

In June 2012 we entered into an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement (“Snowstorm Agreement”) with Daycon Minerals Corporation (“Daycon”), a private Canadian corporation, for Snowstorm. Under the terms of the agreement, Daycon held a five-year option to purchase the property for \$1.5 million cash. We received a total of 1,000,000 shares of Daycon common stock under the Snowstorm Agreement, with potential stock to be issued of up to 1,500,000 shares of Daycon common stock. Daycon agreed to make annual minimum exploration expenditure commitments of \$250,000 on Snowstorm, commencing in June 2014.

During the year ended September 30, 2014, we replaced the Snowstorm Agreement with a Transfer Agreement whereby we transferred our interests in Snowstorm to Daycon in exchange for 500,000 shares of Daycon common stock, thereby ending our involvement in Snowstorm. We do not consider our agreements with respect to Snowstorm to be material to our business at this time.

Montana Copper-Silver Properties

The Minton Pass, East Bull, Standard Creek, Lucky Luke, Clear Peak and Copper Rock Prospects

In 2004, we acquired four properties on the Montana Copper Sulfide Belt in Lincoln and Sanders counties. All four properties are interpreted as sediment-hosted copper-silver occurrences located in the Revett Formation of the Montana Copper-Silver Belt and are considered early-stage exploration prospects. The properties were held by U.S. Borax and its successor company, Kennecott Exploration, during the 1980s and early-1990s. We acquired the mapping and sampling data from the U.S. Borax program. There has been no documented activity in these areas since 1992.

In 2008, we acquired two additional prospects in the same favorable mineralized geology, Clear Peak and Copper Rock. These properties have the same geologic description as the properties described above. Both Clear Peak and Copper Rock were previously explored by Asarco Exploration Company, Inc. All claims were staked by us and are not subject to any underlying production royalty. All of these claims have been filed with the BLM.

In June 2012 we entered into an Exploration and Option to Purchase Agreement (“Montana Agreement”) with Daycon Minerals Corporation (“Daycon”), a private Canadian corporation, for our Montana Copper-Silver Properties. Under the terms of the Montana Agreement, Daycon held a five-year option to purchase the properties for \$1.5 million cash. We received a total of 600,000 shares of Daycon common stock under the Montana Agreement, with potential stock to be issued of up to 900,000 shares of Daycon common stock. Daycon had agreed to make annual minimum exploration expenditure commitments of \$200,000 on the properties, commencing in June 2014. However, during the year ended September 30, 2013, Daycon exercised its right to terminate the Montana Agreement and return the properties to us.

During the year ended September 30, 2014, we entered into agreements with Daycon whereby we transferred the properties to Daycon for nominal consideration, thereby ending our involvement in the Montana Copper-Silver Properties. We do not consider our agreements with respect to these properties to be material to our business at this time.

Overview of Regulatory, Economic and Environmental Issues

Hard rock mining and drilling in the United States is a closely regulated industrial activity. Mining and drilling operations are subject to review and approval by a wide variety of agencies at the federal, state, and local level. Each level of government requires applications for permits to conduct operations. The approval process always involves consideration of many issues including but not limited to air pollution, water use and discharge, noise issues, and wildlife impacts. Mining operations involve preparation of environmental impact studies that examine the probable effect of the proposed site development. Federal agencies that may be involved include: The U.S. Forest Service (“USFS”), Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”), Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (“NIOSH”), the Mine Safety and Health Administration (“MSHA”), and the Fish and Wildlife Service (“FWS”). Individual states also have various environmental regulatory bodies, such as Departments of Ecology and Departments of Environmental Quality. Local authorities, usually counties, also have control over mining activity. An example of such regulation is the State of Montana’s recent ban on the use of cyanide in certain open-pit mining activities within the state. Cyanide is often used in the extraction of gold. However, since some of our prospects in Montana are for silver and copper this ban does not affect those properties. Our Butte Highlands project in Montana is partially on patented ground and is an underground gold prospect. It is anticipated that any production from this property would be from an underground mine and would, at least initially, be shipped to nearby mills for processing as opposed to building our own mills and processing facilities, thus this ban would not affect our plans in Montana. We are not aware of any other states that plan to enact similar legislation.

Gold, silver, and copper are mined in a wide variety of ways, both in open pit and underground mines. Open pit mines require the gold deposit to be relatively close to the surface. These deposits tend to be low grade (such as 0.01-0.03 ounces per ton gold) and are mined using large, costly earth moving equipment, usually at very high tonnages per day.

Open pit operations for gold often involve heap leaching as a metallurgical method to remove the gold. Heap leaching involves stacking the ore on pads which are lined with an impenetrable surface, then sprinkling the gold with a weak cyanide solution to extract the gold. The gold impregnated solution is collected and the gold recovered through further processing.

Underground metal mines generally involve higher-grade ore bodies. Less tonnage is mined underground, and generally the higher-grade ore is processed in a mill or other refining facility. This process results in the accumulation of waste by-products from the processing of the ground ore. Mills require associated tailings ponds to capture waste by-products and treat water used in the milling process.

Capital costs for mine, mill, and tailings pond construction can, depending upon the size of the operation, run into the hundreds of millions of dollars. These costs are factored into the profitability of a mining operation. Metal mining is sensitive to both cost considerations and to the value of the metal produced. Metals prices are set on a world-wide market and are not controlled by the operators of the mine. Changes in currency values or exchange rates can also impact metals prices. Changes in metals prices or operating costs can have a huge impact on the economic viability of a mining operation.

Environmental protection and remediation is an increasingly important part of mineral economics. In some cases, particularly in Montana, with its concern for its grizzly bear population, mining companies have been required to acquire and donate additional land to serve as a substitute habitat for this threatened species.

Estimated future costs of reclamation or restoration of mined land are based principally on legal and regulatory requirements. Reclamation of affected areas after mining operations may cost millions of dollars. Often governmental permitting agencies are requiring multi-million dollar bonds from mining companies prior to granting permits, to insure that reclamation takes place. All environmental mitigation tends to decrease profitability of the mining operation, but these expenses are recognized as a cost of doing business by modern mining and exploration companies.

Mining and exploration activities are subject to various laws and regulations governing the protection of the environment. These laws and regulations are continually changing and are generally becoming more restrictive. We conduct our operations so as to protect the public health and environment and believe our operations are in compliance with applicable laws and regulations in all material respects. We have made, and expect to make in the future, expenditures to comply with such laws and regulations, but cannot predict the full amount of such future expenditures.

Every mining activity has an environmental impact. In order for a proposed mining project to be granted the required governmental permits, mining companies are required to present proposed plans for mitigating this impact. In the United States, where our properties are located, no mine can operate without obtaining a number of permits. These permits address the social, economic, and environmental impacts of the operation and include numerous opportunities for public involvement and comment.

We intend to focus on exploration and discovery of mineral resources. If we are successful, the ore bodies discovered will be attractive to production companies, or we will potentially bring the ore bodies to production ourselves. The mining industry, like agriculture, is a fundamental component of modern industrial society, and minerals of all sorts are needed to maintain our way of life. If we are successful in finding an economic ore body, be it gold, silver or copper, sufficient value is expected to be created to reward our shareholders and allow for all production and reclamation expenses to be paid ourselves or by the actual producer to whom we convey, assign, or joint venture the project.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not a party to any material pending legal proceedings, and no such proceedings are known to be contemplated.

No director, officer, or affiliate of Timberline and no owner of record or beneficial owner of more than 5.0% of our securities or any associate of any such director, officer, or security holder is a party adverse to Timberline or has a material interest adverse to Timberline in reference to pending litigation.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Pursuant to Section 1503(a) of the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (The "Dodd-Frank Act"), issuers that are operators, or that have a subsidiary that is an operator, of a coal or other mine in the United States are required to disclose in their periodic reports filed with the SEC information regarding specified health and safety violations, orders and citations, related assessments and legal actions, and mining-related fatalities. During the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, our U.S. exploration properties were not subject to regulation by the Federal Mine Safety and Health Administration ("MSHA") under the *Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977* (the "Mine Act").

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS, AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Market Information

Our common stock is listed on the NYSE MKT and is quoted under the trading symbol “TLR”. Our common stock also trades on the TSX Venture Exchange (“TSX-V”) in Canada and is quoted under the trading symbol “TBR”. The high and low sale prices for our common stock as quoted on the NYSE MKT and the TSX-V were as follows:

Period ⁽¹⁾	NYSE MKT (US\$)		TSX-V (Cdn\$)	
	High	Low	High	Low
2014				
First Quarter	\$0.24	\$0.12	\$0.25	\$0.14
Second Quarter	\$0.18	\$0.09	\$0.18	\$0.09
Third Quarter	\$0.15	\$0.06	\$0.14	\$0.07
Fourth Quarter ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$0.85	\$0.05	\$0.90	\$0.06
2013				
First Quarter	\$0.25	\$0.15	\$0.25	\$0.15
Second Quarter	\$0.21	\$0.13	\$0.21	\$0.13
Third Quarter	\$0.35	\$0.16	\$0.38	\$0.16
Fourth Quarter	\$0.20	\$0.14	\$0.21	\$0.13
2012				
Fourth Quarter	\$0.50	\$0.19	\$0.46	\$0.19

(1) Quarters indicate calendar year quarters.

(2) Through December 18, 2014

(3) Commencing November 3, 2014, prices are disclosed on a one-for-twelve reverse stock split adjusted basis.

On October 31, 2014 we completed a one-for-twelve reverse stock split, and our stock began trading on a reverse-split adjusted basis on November 3, 2014. On December 18, 2014, the closing sale price for our common stock was \$0.65 on the NYSE MKT and \$0.84 Cdn. on the TSX-V.

As of December 18, 2014, we had 9,900,084 shares of common stock issued and outstanding, held by approximately 780 registered shareholders. In many cases, shares are registered through intermediaries, making the precise number of shareholders difficult to obtain.

Dividend Policy

We anticipate that we will retain any earnings to support operations and to finance the growth and development of our business. Therefore, we do not expect to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Any further determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our board of directors and will be dependent on the financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, and other factors that our board deems relevant. We have never declared a dividend.

Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliates

There were no purchases of our equity securities by us or any of our affiliates during the year ended September 30, 2014.

Stock Incentive Plans

In February 2005, our Board adopted the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan which was approved by a vote of shareholders at our Annual Meeting of Shareholders on September 23, 2005. This plan authorized the granting of up to 62,500 non-qualified stock options to our officers, directors, and consultants.

On August 31, 2006, our Board of Directors approved an amendment to the Timberline Resources Corporation 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (the “Amended 2005 Plan”) for the purposes of increasing the total number of shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to Awards granted under the original 2005 plan from 62,500 shares to 229,167 shares and allowing “Ten Percent Shareholders” (as defined in the Amended 2005 Plan) to participate in the plan on the same basis of any other participant. The Amended 2005 Plan was approved by a vote of shareholders at our Annual Meeting of Shareholders on September 22, 2006.

On August 22, 2008, our shareholders approved a proposal for the increase in the total number of shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the original 2005 plan as previously amended. Following the increase, the plan provided for 583,334 shares of common stock for awards under the plan.

On May 28, 2010, our shareholders approved a proposal for the increase in the total number of shares of common stock that may be issued pursuant to awards granted under the original 2005 plan as previously amended. Following the increase, the plan provides for 833,334 shares of common stock for awards under the plan.

All share amounts included in this section have been revised to reflect a one-for-twelve reverse stock split that was approved by our stockholders and implemented on October 31, 2014.

Equity Compensation Plans

The following summary information is presented as of September 30, 2014.

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants, and rights (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾	203,334 ⁽¹⁾	\$9.09	203,334
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
TOTAL	203,334 ⁽¹⁾	\$9.09	203,334

(1) See “Stock Incentive Plans,” above.

On October 31, 2014 we completed a one-for-twelve reverse stock split, and proportional adjustments were made to the number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, and prices of our outstanding options.

As to the options granted to date, there were no options exercised during the years ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013.

Sale of Unregistered Securities

All unregistered sales of equity securities during the period covered by this Annual Report were previously disclosed in our current reports on Form 8-K and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not Applicable.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our financial statements and related notes appearing elsewhere in this report. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of many factors, including, but not limited to, those set forth under “Risk Factors and Uncertainties” and elsewhere in this document. See “Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” above.

Overview

We commenced our exploration stage in January 2004 with the change in the management of the Company. From January 2004 until March 2006, we were a mineral exploration company. We advanced our business plan with the acquisition of a drilling services company in 2006, the acquisition of Butte Highlands in 2007, and the acquisition of Staccato Gold Resources Ltd. in 2010. Prior to commencing our operations in 2004, the purchase of Timberline Drilling (formerly known as Kettle Drilling), and a more active and focused exploration division, the Company had no reported revenues and accumulated losses.

During 2014, we advanced our business plan with the acquisition of Wolfpack Gold (Nevada) Corp., and we have continued to focus our business model on mineral exploration and development, with future revenues and cash flows, if any, to be derived from any future mineral production.

Mineral Exploration

In 2010, we acquired Staccato Gold Resources Ltd. and its Eureka Property in Nevada's Battle Mountain – Eureka gold trend, which includes the Lookout Mountain Project, and is one of the largest undeveloped exploration properties in Nevada, encompassing some 23 square miles. Since our acquisition of the Eureka Property we have completed an aggressive work program, including more than 60,000 feet of surface drilling, metallurgical testing, geotechnical and geochemical studies, as well as baseline environmental studies. We have obtained sufficient data to complete several updated mineralization estimates. In addition, we completed detailed mapping of the geology of the property to better understand the controls of mineralization and to outline additional exploration drill targets that were tested during our most recent drilling program as well as those to be tested in future exploration drilling programs.

Given the difficult market conditions for mineral exploration companies, we have scaled back our exploration activities during the past year in order to preserve capital, while still undertaking important permitting and planning activities in order to move projects forward expeditiously as capital becomes available. At our Eureka Property, which includes the Lookout Mountain Project, we followed up on the exploration and drilling programs of the previous three years with continued geochemical waste rock environmental characterization, independent metallurgical leach testing, water quality monitoring and definition of hydrologic work plans. In addition, we consolidated our Elko field office into our Eureka facility in order to reduce ongoing expenses. We also announced an updated gold mineralization estimate in April 2013.

Eureka Property Exploration Plans and Budget

We expect to proceed with exploration drilling programs and advance metallurgical testing at the Eureka Property in 2015. Assuming the availability of funding to undertake our exploration programs, we expect to undertake drill programs at various targets on the Eureka Property as follow up to previous positive intercepts, and complete some minor work at our Seven Troughs property. Our total exploration budget for fiscal 2015 is approximately \$2 million. These expenditures are discretionary and may be scaled back depending upon the availability of capital to us.

Butte Highlands Joint Venture

Our Butte Highlands Joint Venture in Montana continued to progress toward commencement of mineral extraction during the 2013 fiscal year. Our activities during 2014 were concentrated primarily on advancing permitting of the project with the Montana Department of Environmental Quality (“MTDEQ”). A draft operating permit was received during 2013. In addition, a Montana Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“MPDES”) permit application was submitted, and the permit was received, in 2013. During our fiscal year 2014, we received notification that the MTDEQ had completed its Draft EIS. Receipt of the Draft EIS was a significant milestone in the process of obtaining the final operating permit to commence mineral extraction. Also in 2014, the MTDEQ advanced toward completion of a Final Environmental Impact Statement (“Final EIS”) for the project through development of responses to public comments on the Draft EIS. Mitigations to potential impacts to surface water flows after mining have been developed in agreement with Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, and the City and County of Butte-Silver Bow. The MTDEQ has scheduled release of the Final EIS for December 18, 2014.

It is anticipated that the Record of Decision (“ROD”) on the Final EIS will be issued in early January, 2015 following a mandatory 15-day waiting period following the release of the Final EIS. Release of the final Hard Rock Operating Permit will follow after the ROD is issued and the State calculates a bond for reclamation of the project site.

Permitting also advanced during 2012 and 2013 with submittal of a proposed Plan of Operations with the United States Forest Service (“USFS”) for material haulage road use. The USFS completed site investigations and in March, 2014 published a Draft Environmental Assessment (“Draft EA”). Subsequent to release of the Draft EA, the USFS has collected public comments and continues to develop responses to such in anticipation of release of a Final Environmental Assessment in early 2015. Once the final Hard Rock Operating Permit is granted by the MTDEQ and the Plan of Operations is approved by the USFS, we expect BHJV to transition from exploration to gold mining operations. Much of the surface facilities and infrastructure required for mining operations are already in place and were constructed under our exploration permit which has been in place since August 2009.

Timberline's Butte Highlands Joint Venture is the first example of our strategy to enter into creative structures that move extractive responsibility to other parties while allowing exposure to gold extraction, where financing has been provided by a third party. This has been achieved with no dilution to Timberline shareholders. As noted, all expenditures relating to the construction of the projected underground mine are being paid by Timberline's joint venture partner, with a total expected construction budget of approximately USD \$35-40 million.

Our management and geologists remain committed to providing exploration and potential for discovery to our investors. Looking ahead, it is the opinion of management that our primary commodity focus should be on precious metals - primarily gold, and to a lesser extent on silver. Furthermore, we believe that projects similar to Lookout Mountain and Butte Highlands are a good fit for the current environment and the unique qualifications of our people and strategic partners.

Results of Operations for Years Ended September 30, 2014 and 2013

Consolidated Results

Our overall consolidated net loss for the year decreased in comparison to the prior year primarily as a result of a decrease in mineral exploration expenses by the Company, particularly at our Eureka Property. The Company does not expect that the decrease will continue in the coming fiscal year, as the Company anticipates increased exploration expenses in the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015 of approximately \$2 million depending on the availability of capital.

(US\$)	Year Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Exploration expenses:		
Butte Highlands	\$ -	\$ 28,862
Eureka/Lookout Mountain	514,840	1,501,737
Other exploration properties	384,273	414,821
Impairment of mineral properties	100,000	-
Total exploration expenditures	999,113	1,945,420
Non-cash expenses:		
Stock option and stock issuance expense	-	10,000
Depreciation, amortization, and accretion	17,410	33,781
Total non-cash expenses	17,410	43,781
Gain on lease of mineral rights	-	(250,000)
Salaries and benefits	857,765	791,655
Professional fees expense	360,161	189,487
Impairment of long-term investments	-	450,000
Other general and administrative expenses	453,797	618,146
Interest and other (income) expense, net	89,640	(63,907)
Net loss	\$ (2,777,886)	\$ (3,724,582)

During the year ended September 30, 2014, it was determined that an impairment in the value of our White Rock property existed and the entire carrying value of \$100,000 was written off as impairment of mineral properties during the year ended September 30, 2014. No other impairments were recorded at September 30, 2014 and no impairments were recorded for the year ended September 30, 2013.

The decrease in the net loss for our fiscal year ended September 30, 2014 as compared to the previous year's net loss is primarily a result of considerably decreased expenditures on mineral exploration at our Eureka property. Professional fees expenses were higher in 2014 than 2013 as a result of costs related to acquisition activity. Other general and administrative expenses were lower in fiscal 2014 primarily as a result of reductions in investor relations activities, reduced director fees, and lower insurance costs. During fiscal year 2014 we had no gain on the lease of mineral rights, whereas in 2013 we recognized a gain on leases of mineral rights related to agreements whereby we leased the right to explore our Snowstorm Prospect and Montana Copper-Silver Properties. In 2013, we also wrote off the value of certain long-term investments. Interest and other (income) expense, net was higher in fiscal 2014 due to interest expense on the loan from Wolfpack compared to interest income in fiscal 2013 related to our note receivable from the purchaser of Timberline Drilling that was repaid midway through fiscal 2013.

Financial Condition and Liquidity

At September 30, 2014, we had assets of \$19,357,507 consisting of cash in the amount of \$2,825,320; prepaid drilling services of \$440,000; restricted cash of \$971,854; property, mineral rights, and equipment, net of depreciation, of \$14,431,038; and other assets of \$689,295.

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis that the Company is a going concern, which contemplates the realization of our assets and the settlement of our liabilities in the normal course of our operations. Disruptions in the credit and financial markets over the past several years have had a material adverse impact on a number of financial institutions and have limited access to capital and credit for many companies. These disruptions, among other things, make it more difficult for us to obtain, or increase our cost of obtaining, capital and financing for our operations. Our access to additional capital may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. If we are unable to obtain financing through equity investments, we will seek multiple solutions including, but not limited to, asset sales, credit facilities or debenture issuances in order to continue as a going concern.

At September 30, 2014 our working capital surplus was \$2,542,591 compared to working capital of \$438,023 at September 30, 2013. As of the date of filing of this report, we have no outstanding debt and a cash balance of approximately \$2,300,000. Management expects to manage the amount of working capital and expenditures through prudent, results-driven exploration, reductions in professional fees and other general and administrative expenditures, and potentially obtaining financing through equity investments, joint ventures, or other types of agreements or strategic arrangements. We plan, as funding allows, to continue exploration programs on our material exploration properties, to fund some exploratory activities and drilling on early-stage properties, and to seek additional acquisition opportunities.

We may not be able to execute the full extent of our operating plans with our current cash balances. Therefore, we anticipate the need to engage in a financing transaction which may include equity financing, sales of non-core assets, credit facilities or debenture issuances, or other strategic arrangements. With our current cash balance, our expected ability to complete financing transactions, and our ability to curtail discretionary exploration expenditures as needed, we believe that we have sufficient working capital to meet our ongoing non-discretionary operating expenses for the next 12 months and maintain our primary mineral properties. Butte Highlands continues to be carried to production (as defined in the joint venture agreement). If and when extraction of mineralized material begins, we should realize some income from our 20% share of project cash flows, with potential increased income after initial capital expenditures are repaid and our share of project cash flows increases to 50%. While this prospective income will serve to fund some of our ongoing exploration expenditures, we do not anticipate that it will initially be sufficient to fund all such activities and that additional financing will still be necessary to fund our other exploration activities, or those activities will have to be curtailed. Given current market conditions, we cannot provide assurance that necessary financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all.

Financing activities

In December 2012, we closed an underwritten public offering of our common stock to investors in the United States. We entered into an underwriting agreement to sell 479,167 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.40 per share for gross proceeds of \$1,150,000. We incurred \$261,585 in expenses with respect to the offering, resulting in net proceeds of \$888,415. In connection with the offering, we also issued 12,500 warrants to the underwriter of the public offering. Each warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share for a two-year period commencing December 26, 2013.

In September 2013, we closed an underwritten public offering of our common stock to investors in the United States. We entered into an underwriting agreement to sell 416,667 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.40 per share for gross proceeds of \$1,000,000. We incurred \$204,579 in expenses with respect to the offering, resulting in net proceeds of \$795,421. In connection with the offering, we also issued 12,500 warrants to the underwriter of the public offering. Each warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share for a two-year period commencing September 10, 2014.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance-sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues, results of operations, liquidity, or capital expenditures.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

See Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements contained in Item 8 of this Annual Report for a complete summary of the significant accounting policies used in the presentation of our financial statements. As described in Note 2, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and related disclosures of assets, liabilities, revenue, and expenses. We believe that our most critical accounting estimates are related to asset impairments and asset retirement obligations.

Our critical accounting policies and estimates are as follows:

Asset Impairments

Significant property acquisition payments for active exploration properties are capitalized. The evaluation of our mineral properties for impairment is based on market conditions for minerals, underlying mineralized material associated with the properties, and future costs that may be required for ultimate realization through mining operations or by sale. If no mineable ore body is discovered, or market conditions for minerals deteriorate, there is the potential for a material adjustment to the value assigned to mineral properties.

We review the carrying value of long-lived assets for impairment whenever events and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable from the estimated future cash flows expected to result from its use and eventual disposition. In cases where undiscounted expected future cash flows are less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized equal to an amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the asset. The factors considered by management in performing this assessment include current operating results, trends and prospects, the manner in which the asset is used, and the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, and other economic factors.

Asset Retirement Obligations

We have an obligation to reclaim our properties after the surface has been disturbed by exploration methods at the site. As a result we have recorded a liability for the fair value of the reclamation costs we expect to incur in association with our Eureka Property. We estimate applicable inflation and credit-adjusted risk-free rates as well as expected reclamation time frames. To the extent that the estimated reclamation costs change, such changes will impact future reclamation expense recorded. A liability is recognized for the present value of estimated environmental remediation (asset retirement obligation) in the period in which the liability is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The offsetting balance is charged to the related long-lived asset. Adjustments are made to the liability for changes resulting from passage of time and changes to either the timing or amount of the original present value estimate underlying the obligation.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This standard provides guidance on the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The new requirements are effective for public entities in fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2013. Adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014 the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-10 (“the ASU”). This update changes the requirements for disclosures as it relates to exploration stage entities. The ASU specifies that the inception-to-date information is no longer required to be presented in the financial statements of an exploration stage entity. The amendments in the ASU are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and interim periods therein, with early application permitted for any financial statements that have not yet been issued. The Company has elected to apply the amendments to its September 30, 2014 financial statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not Applicable.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

**TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION AND
SUBSIDIARIES**

Consolidated Financial Statements

September 30, 2014 and 2013

Timberline Resources Corporation and Subsidiaries

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
<i>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:</i>	
<i>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</i>	37
<i>Consolidated balance sheets</i>	38
<i>Consolidated statements of operations</i>	39
<i>Consolidated statements of changes in stockholders' equity</i>	40
<i>Consolidated statements of cash flows</i>	41
<i>Notes to consolidated financial statements</i>	42-53

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Timberline Resources Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Timberline Resources Corporation (“the Company”) as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders’ equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Timberline Resources Corporation as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the results of its consolidated operations and cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

DeCoria, Maichel, & Teague, P.S.

DeCoria, Maichel & Teague, P.S.
Spokane, Washington
December 17, 2014

TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	September 30, 2014	September 30, 2013
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash	\$ 2,825,320	\$ 824,919
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	30,769	30,151
Joint venture receivable	11,576	53,586
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS	2,867,665	908,656
PROPERTY, MINERAL RIGHTS, AND EQUIPMENT	14,431,038	14,037,784
OTHER ASSETS:		
Prepaid drilling services	440,000	660,000
Investment in joint venture	642,450	642,450
Restricted cash	971,854	639,422
Deposits and other assets	4,500	4,500
TOTAL OTHER ASSETS	2,058,804	1,946,372
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 19,357,507	\$ 16,892,812
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable	\$ 140,697	\$ 204,897
Accrued expenses	57,419	90,000
Accrued director fees	91,000	123,292
Accrued payroll, benefits, and taxes	35,958	52,444
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES	325,074	470,633
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:		
Common stock payable	80,000	-
Asset retirement obligation	132,115	125,823
TOTAL LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	212,115	125,823
COMMITMENTS (NOTE 15)	-	-
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: (NOTE 13)		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized, none issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 200,000,000 and 100,000,000 shares authorized, 9,816,751 and 6,168,490 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	9,817	6,168
Additional paid-in capital	63,573,675	58,275,476
Accumulated deficit	(44,763,174)	(41,985,288)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	18,820,318	16,296,356
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 19,357,507	\$ 16,892,812

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
OPERATING EXPENSES:		
Mineral exploration expenses	\$ 899,113	\$ 1,945,420
Salaries and benefits	857,765	791,655
Professional fees expense	360,161	189,487
Insurance expense	79,084	111,401
Impairment of mineral rights	100,000	-
Gain on lease of mineral rights	-	(250,000)
Gain on disposal of equipment	(16,565)	-
General and administrative expenses	408,688	550,526
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	<u>2,688,246</u>	<u>3,338,489</u>
LOSS FROM OPERATIONS	<u>(2,688,246)</u>	<u>(3,338,489)</u>
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):		
Foreign exchange loss	(2,557)	(2,619)
Interest income (expense), net	(17,083)	66,526
Loss on settlement of prepaid drilling services	(70,000)	-
Impairment of long-term investment	-	(450,000)
TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)	<u>(89,640)</u>	<u>(386,093)</u>
LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(2,777,886)	(3,724,582)
INCOME TAX EXPENSE	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
NET LOSS	<u>\$ (2,777,886)</u>	<u>\$ (3,724,582)</u>
NET LOSS PER SHARE AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS, BASIC AND DILUTED	<u>\$ (0.42)</u>	<u>\$ (0.67)</u>
WEIGHTED-AVERAGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING, BASIC AND DILUTED	<u>6,674,196</u>	<u>5,587,908</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Total Stockholders' Equity
	Shares	Amount			
Balance, September 30, 2012	5,189,324	\$ 5,189	\$ 56,255,118	\$ (38,260,706)	\$ 17,999,601
Common stock and warrants issued for cash at \$2.40 per share, net of offering costs	895,833	896	1,682,941	-	1,683,837
Vested portion of stock options granted	-	-	10,000	-	10,000
Common stock issued for mineral rights, property, and equipment purchase	75,000	75	305,925	-	306,000
Common stock issued for mineral exploration expenses	8,333	8	21,492	-	21,500
Net loss	-	-	-	(3,724,582)	(3,724,582)
Balance, September 30, 2013	6,168,490	\$ 6,168	\$ 58,275,476	\$ (41,985,288)	\$ 16,296,356
Common stock issued for mineral exploration expenses	53,922	54	109,946	-	110,000
Common stock issued for property, mineral rights, and equipment purchase	16,667	17	39,983	-	40,000
Common stock issued in exchange for cancellation of note payable to Wolfpack pursuant to acquisition (Note 11)	706,407	707	1,016,520	-	1,017,227
Common stock issued for acquisition of Wolfpack Nevada (Note 4)	2,871,265	2,871	4,131,750	-	4,134,621
Net loss	-	-	-	(2,777,886)	(2,777,886)
Balance, September 30, 2014	9,816,751	\$ 9,817	\$ 63,573,675	\$ (44,763,174)	\$ 18,820,318

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (2,777,886)	\$ (3,724,582)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	11,118	27,789
Accretion of asset retirement obligation	6,292	5,992
Common stock issued for mineral exploration expenses	110,000	21,500
Impairment of mineral rights	100,000	-
Loss on settlement of prepaid drilling services	70,000	-
Gain on disposal of equipment	(16,565)	-
Common stock issued for interest on note payable	17,226	-
Stock-based compensation	-	10,000
Gain on lease of mineral rights	-	(250,000)
Impairment of long-term investment	-	450,000
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid drilling services, prepaid expenses and other current assets, and deposits and other assets	(618)	264,625
Joint venture receivable	42,010	719,442
Accounts payable	(64,200)	(724,332)
Accrued expenses and director fees	(64,873)	101,625
Accrued payroll, benefits, and taxes	(16,486)	3,443
Net cash used by operating activities	<u>(2,583,982)</u>	<u>(3,094,498)</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchase of property, mineral rights, and equipment	(158,000)	(148,500)
Proceeds from sale of property, mineral rights, and equipment	22,056	-
Change in restricted cash	16,184	-
Net cash acquired in acquisition of Wolfpack Nevada (Note 4)	3,554,143	-
Settlement of prepaid drilling services	150,000	-
Payment on note receivable	-	1,350,000
Net cash provided by investing activities	<u>3,584,383</u>	<u>1,201,500</u>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Proceeds from issuance of note payable to Wolfpack	1,000,000	-
Proceeds from issuance of common stock and warrants, net of stock offering costs	-	1,683,837
Net cash provided by financing activities	<u>1,000,000</u>	<u>1,683,837</u>
Net increase (decrease) in cash	2,000,401	(209,161)
CASH AT BEGINNING OF YEAR	824,919	1,034,080
CASH AT END OF YEAR	<u>\$ 2,825,320</u>	<u>\$ 824,919</u>
NON-CASH FINANCING AND INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Common stock issued for mineral rights purchase	\$ 40,000	\$ 306,000
Common stock payable for mineral rights	80,000	-
Common stock issued to repay note payable and accrued interest	1,017,226	-
Common stock issued in connection with acquisition of Wolfpack Nevada (Note 4)	4,134,621	-
Long-term investment received from lease of mineral rights	-	25,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS:

Timberline Resources Corporation (“Timberline” or “the Company”, “we”, “us”, “our”) was incorporated in August of 1968 under the laws of the State of Idaho as Silver Crystal Mines, Inc., for the purpose of exploring for precious metal deposits and advancing them to production.

In 2006, we acquired Kettle Drilling, Inc. and its Mexican subsidiary, World Wide Exploration S.A. de C.V. (“World Wide”). In 2008, Kettle Drilling, Inc. changed its name to Timberline Drilling Incorporated (“Timberline Drilling”). In 2011 we closed the sale of Timberline Drilling and World Wide and became solely a mineral exploration enterprise.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

- a. *Basis of Presentation* – This summary of significant accounting policies is presented to assist in understanding the financial statements. The financial statements and notes are representations of our management, which is responsible for their integrity and objectivity. These accounting policies conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and have been consistently applied in the preparation of the financial statements.
- b. *Principles of Consolidation* – The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Staccato Gold Resources, Ltd., BH Minerals USA, Inc. and Wolfpack Gold (Nevada), after elimination of intercompany accounts and transactions.
- c. *Exploration Expenditures* – All exploration expenditures are expensed as incurred. Significant property acquisition payments for active exploration properties are capitalized. If no mineable ore body is discovered, previously capitalized costs are expensed in the period the property is abandoned.
- d. *Fair Value of Financial Instruments* – Our financial instruments include cash and restricted cash. The carrying value of restricted cash approximates fair value based on the contractual terms of those instruments.
- e. *Cash Equivalents* – For the purposes of the statement of cash flows, we consider all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents. Balances are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation up to \$250,000 for accounts at each financial institution.
- f. *Restricted Cash* – Restricted cash represents funds restricted as collateral for bonds held for exploration permits.
- g. *Estimates and Assumptions* – The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the use of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant areas requiring the use of management assumptions and estimates relate to asset impairments and asset retirement obligations. Actual results could differ from these estimates and assumptions and could have a material effect on our reported financial position and results of operations.
- h. *Investments* – Available-for-sale securities are initially recorded at cost and then carried at fair value, with unrealized gains or losses recorded as a component of equity, unless a decline in value of the security is considered other than temporary. Realized gains and losses and other than temporary impairments are recorded in the statement of operations. Investments in private entities which do not have a readily determinable fair value, and in which we do not have significant influence, are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. We also have a 50% interest in a joint venture at our Butte Highlands Gold Project (see Note 6). Given that our 50% interest in the joint venture is carried to production; we do not have management control over operating decisions of the joint venture until our joint venture partner’s investment in the project, less \$2 million, is recovered by the joint venture partner out of future production; and we have no risk of loss from expenses incurred by the joint venture until production, our investment in the joint venture is accounted for on a cost basis.
- i. *Property and Equipment* – Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation of property and equipment is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which ranges from two to seven years. Maintenance and repairs are charged to operations as incurred. Significant improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the useful life of the assets. Gains or losses on disposition or retirement of property and equipment are recognized in operating expenses.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, (continued):

- j. *Review of Carrying Value of Property, Mineral Rights and Equipment for Impairment* – We review the carrying value of property, mineral rights, and equipment for impairment whenever events and circumstances indicate that the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable from the estimated future cash flows expected to result from its use and eventual disposition. In cases where undiscounted expected future cash flows are less than the carrying value, an impairment loss is recognized equal to an amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value of assets. The factors considered by management in performing this assessment include current operating results, trends and prospects, the manner in which the property is used, the effects of obsolescence, demand, competition, and other economic factors. Based on this assessment, it was determined that an impairment in the value of our White Rock property existed and the entire carrying value of \$100,000 was written off as impairment of mineral properties during the year ended September 30, 2014. No other impairments were recorded at September 30, 2014 and no impairments were recorded for the year ended September 30, 2013.
- k. *Asset Retirement Obligations* – The Company accounts for asset retirement obligations by following the uniform methodology for accounting for estimated reclamation and abandonment costs as prescribed by authoritative accounting guidance. This guidance provides that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation (“ARO”) will be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The ARO is capitalized as part of the carrying value of the assets to which it is associated, and depreciated over the useful life of the asset. Adjustments are made to the liability for changes resulting from passage of time and changes to either the timing or amount of the original present value estimate underlying the obligation. We have an asset retirement obligation associated with our exploration program at the Lookout Mountain exploration project (see Note 10).
- l. *Provision for Income Taxes* – Income taxes are provided based upon the liability method of accounting. Under this approach, deferred income taxes are recorded to reflect the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts at each year-end. A valuation allowance is recorded against the deferred tax asset if management believes it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized (see Note 12).
- m. *Translation of Foreign Currencies* – All amounts in the financial statements are presented in US dollars, and the US dollar is our functional currency. We have a Canadian subsidiary, but this subsidiary has no operations, assets, or liabilities in Canada for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Foreign translation and transaction losses relating to expenses incurred in Canada by Timberline, of \$2,557 and \$2,619 for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, have been included in the current period net loss as a component of other expense.
- n. *Stock-based Compensation* – We estimate the fair value of our stock-based compensation using the Black-Scholes model, which requires the input of some subjective assumptions. These assumptions include estimating the length of time employees will retain their vested stock options before exercising them (“expected life”), the estimated volatility of our common stock price over the expected term (“volatility”), employee forfeiture rate, the risk-free interest rate and the dividend yield. Changes in the subjective assumptions can materially affect the estimate of fair value of stock-based compensation. The value of common stock awards is determined based upon the closing price of our stock on the date of the award.
- o. *Net Loss per Share* – Basic earnings per share (“EPS”) is computed as net income (loss) available to common shareholders divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock options, warrants, and other convertible securities.

NOTE 2 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, (continued):

The dilutive effect of convertible and outstanding securities as of September 30, 2014 and 2013 is as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Stock options	203,334	439,292
Warrants	25,000	25,000
Total potential dilution	<u>228,334</u>	<u>464,292</u>

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, the effect of the Company’s outstanding stock options and common stock equivalents would have been anti-dilutive. Accordingly, only basic EPS is presented.

- p. *New Accounting Pronouncements* – In July 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists. This standard provides guidance on the presentation of an unrecognized tax benefit when a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward exists. The new requirements are effective for public entities in fiscal years (including interim periods) beginning after December 15, 2013. Adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-10 (“the ASU”). This update changes the requirements for disclosures as it relates to exploration stage entities. The ASU specifies that the inception-to-date information is no longer required to be presented in the financial statements of an exploration stage entity. The amendments in the ASU are effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and interim periods therein, with early application permitted for any financial statements that have not yet been issued. The Company has elected to apply the amendments to its September 30, 2014 financial statements.

NOTE 3 – FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

The table below sets forth our financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively, and the fair value calculation input hierarchy level that we have determined applies to each asset and liability category.

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>	<u>Input Hierarchy Level</u>
Assets:			
Cash	\$ 2,825,320	\$ 824,919	Level 1
Restricted cash	971,854	639,422	Level 1

NOTE 4 – ACQUISITION OF WOLFPACK GOLD (NEVADA) CORP.:

On August 15, 2014, we completed our acquisition of all of the issued and outstanding common shares of Wolfpack Gold (Nevada) Corp. (“Wolfpack Nevada”) in accordance with the terms of an Arrangement Agreement, dated May 6, 2014, by and between the Company and the parent company of Wolfpack Nevada, Wolfpack Gold Corp (“Wolfpack”). The acquisition was approved by the stockholders of both Timberline and Wolfpack. Wolfpack Nevada was a subsidiary company of Wolfpack, a publicly held Canadian corporation engaged in the exploration of precious metals properties in Nevada. We acquired Wolfpack Nevada in order to further the exploration and development of mineral properties owned or leased by Wolfpack Nevada, as well as to increase our working capital.

This transaction was accounted for as a business combination. We acquired all of the shares of Wolfpack Nevada in consideration for the issuance of one share of common stock of Timberline for each 0.75 common shares of Wolfpack. Pre-acquisition Timberline shareholders own approximately 64% of our issued and outstanding common stock as of the acquisition date and former Wolfpack shareholders own approximately 36%.

The purchase price of the transaction was \$5,151,847, consisting entirely of the issuance of 3,577,672 shares of our common stock. Of the 3,577,672 shares of common stock issued, 706,407 shares of common stock were issued to Wolfpack in exchange for the cancellation of a \$1,000,000 promissory note of Timberline held by Wolfpack, as well as \$17,226 of accrued and unpaid interest on the promissory note (see Note 11).

We incurred \$256,223 in expenses specifically related to the acquisition, \$236,866 of which is included in professional fees expense, \$1,918 is included in mineral exploration expenses, and \$17,439 is included in other general and administrative expenses in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended September 30, 2014.

The acquisition of Wolfpack Nevada closed at 9:00 a.m. pacific time on August 15, 2014. The closing price of the Company’s common stock on the NYSE MKT on the day prior to this date was \$1.44 per share (adjusted for the reverse stock split – See Note 13).

The purchase price allocation of the acquisition is summarized as follows:

Purchase price:		
Shares issued on acquisition	\$	5,151,847
Cancellation of promissory note and accrued interest		(1,017,226)
	\$	<u>4,134,621</u>
Net assets acquired:		
Cash	\$	3,554,143
Restricted cash		348,616
Property, mineral rights, and equipment, net		231,862
	\$	<u>4,134,621</u>

The Company’s consolidated statement of operations for the year ended September 30, 2014 includes expenses incurred by Wolfpack Nevada of \$30 and no revenue since the acquisition date.

The unaudited pro forma financial information below represents the combined results of our operations as if the Wolfpack Nevada acquisition had occurred at the beginning of the periods presented. The unaudited pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have occurred if the acquisition had taken place at the beginning of the periods presented, nor is it indicative of future operating results.

	Year ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Loss from operations	\$ (6,910,000)	\$ (7,400,000)
Net loss	(7,000,000)	(7,780,000)
Net loss per share available to common stockholders, basic and diluted	\$ (1.05)	\$ (0.85)

NOTE 5 – PROPERTY, MINERAL RIGHTS AND EQUIPMENT:

The following is a summary of property, mineral rights, and equipment and accumulated depreciation at September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Expected Useful Lives (years)	2014	2013
Mineral rights – Eureka	-	\$ 13,527,842	\$ 13,329,842
Mineral rights – Other	-	829,362	626,000
Equipment and vehicles	2-5	153,353	185,692
Office equipment and furniture	3-7	70,150	70,150
Land	-	51,477	51,477
Total property, mineral rights, and equipment		<u>14,632,184</u>	<u>14,263,161</u>
Less accumulated depreciation		<u>(201,146)</u>	<u>(225,377)</u>
Property, mineral rights, and equipment, net		<u>\$ 14,431,038</u>	<u>\$ 14,037,784</u>

During the year ended September 30, 2014, it was determined that an impairment in the value of our White Rock property existed and the entire carrying value of \$100,000 was written off during the year ended September 30, 2014. No other impairments were recorded at September 30, 2014 and no impairments were recorded for the year ended September 30, 2013.

Depreciation expense for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 was \$11,118 and \$27,789, respectively.

NOTE 6 – INVESTMENT IN JOINT VENTURE:

In July 2009, we entered into a joint venture operating agreement (the “Agreement”) with Highland Mining, LLC (“Highland”). The joint venture entity, Butte Highlands JV, LLC (“BHJV”) was created for the purpose of developing and mining the Butte Highlands Gold Project. As a result of our contribution of our 100% interest in the Butte Highlands Gold Project, carried on our balance sheet at cost, we hold a 50% interest in BHJV. Under terms of the agreement, our interest in BHJV will be carried to production by Highland, which will fund all future project exploration and mine development costs.

Under the Agreement, Highland contributed property and will fund all future mine development costs at Butte Highlands. Both the Company’s and Highland’s share of development costs will be paid from proceeds of future mine production. The Agreement stipulates that Highland shall appoint a manager of BHJV and that Highland will manage BHJV until such time as all mine development costs, less \$2 million (the deemed value of our contribution of property to BHJV), are distributed to Highland out of the proceeds from future mine production.

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, we have a receivable from BHJV for expenses incurred on behalf of BHJV in the amount of \$11,576 and \$53,586, respectively.

NOTE 7 – NOTE RECEIVABLE:

We had a \$1,350,000 note receivable as a portion of the consideration received from the sale of Timberline Drilling in November 2011. The note receivable was unsecured and subordinated and bore interest at 10% per annum, payable monthly, with the principal to be repaid on or before May 9, 2013. The note receivable was fully repaid on April 19, 2013.

We recorded interest income on this note of \$66,164 for the year ended September 30, 2013.

NOTE 8 – PREPAID DRILLING SERVICES:

During the year ended September 30, 2012, we obtained \$1,100,000 in prepaid drilling services as a portion of the consideration received from the sale of Timberline Drilling. The prepayment amount represents discounts on future drilling services or cash, if we do not use the prepaid drilling services, to be provided by Timberline Drilling to us between November 2011 and November 2016. During the year ended September 30, 2014, we accepted \$150,000 as settlement of the portion of the prepaid drilling services that was due to be paid to the Company in November 2014 (\$220,000), resulting in a \$70,000 loss on settlement of prepaid drilling services.

The following table summarizes activity in our prepaid drilling services at September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Beginning balance	\$ 660,000	\$ 887,116
Cash received in lieu of drilling services	(150,000)	(227,116)
Loss on settlement of prepaid drilling services	<u>(70,000)</u>	<u>-</u>
Ending balance	<u>\$ 440,000</u>	<u>\$ 660,000</u>

NOTE 9 – RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS:

Director fees

The Company has accrued \$91,000 and \$123,292 in director fees as of the years ended September 30, 2014 and September 30, 2013, respectively.

Daycon Minerals

We own approximately 5% and 15% of the issued and outstanding stock of Daycon as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In addition, our President and CEO, Paul Dirksen, is a member of the board of directors of Daycon as of September 30, 2014.

At September 30, 2013 we evaluated the fair value of our investment in Daycon and determined that this asset was impaired. We determined that the fair value measurement of our investment in Daycon falls under Level 3 (no significant observable inputs) of the fair value hierarchy. Given the adverse market conditions for mineral exploration companies and our doubt about Daycon's ability to continue as a going concern, we concluded that the fair value of our investment in Daycon at September 30, 2013 was zero. As a result we recognized a \$450,000 impairment of long term investments in our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended September 30, 2013.

NOTE 10 – ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION:

We have established an asset retirement obligation ("ARO") for our exploration program at the Lookout Mountain Project. The ARO resulted from the reclamation and remediation requirements of the United States Bureau of Land Management as outlined in our permit to carry out the exploration program. Estimated reclamation costs at the Lookout Mountain Project were discounted using a credit-adjusted, risk-free interest rate of 5% from the time we expect to pay the retirement obligation to the time we incurred the obligation, which is estimated at 10 years.

The following table summarizes activity in our ARO liability for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Beginning balance	\$ 125,823	\$ 119,831
Accretion expense	<u>6,292</u>	<u>5,992</u>
Ending balance	<u>\$ 132,115</u>	<u>\$ 125,823</u>

NOTE 11 – NOTE PAYABLE:

On March 14, 2014, we entered into a promissory note (the “Note”) and deed of trust, security agreement, assignment of leases and rents and fixture filing to secure promissory note (the “Deed of Trust”) with Wolfpack Gold Corp. (“Wolfpack”). Timberline and Wolfpack entered into the Note and the Deed of Trust in connection with a proposed business combination (the “Proposed Transaction”) that was the subject of a letter of intent between the parties dated effective March 11, 2014 and was completed on August 15, 2014 (see Note 4).

Pursuant to the Note, we agreed to repay Wolfpack the unpaid principal amount of advances made under the Note up to a maximum principal amount of \$1,000,000, together with accrued interest thereon. The amount drawn on the Note bore interest at 5% during the first six months of the loan and 10% thereafter until repaid. Interest was payable in arrears on the date that the Note was prepaid, in proportion to the principal amount being prepaid, or on the date that the Note was due and payable. The Note became payable five business days after the Proposed Transaction closed. The outstanding principal amount of \$1,000,000, together with accrued interest of \$17,226, was paid to Wolfpack with the issuance of 706,407 shares of our common stock on August 15, 2014.

NOTE 12 – INCOME TAXES:

We have not recognized a provision for income taxes for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

At September 30, 2014 and 2013, we had deferred tax assets arising principally from net operating loss carry forwards for income tax purposes. As our management cannot determine that it is more likely than not that we will realize the benefit of the net deferred tax asset, a valuation allowance equal to 100% of the net deferred tax asset has been recorded at September 30, 2014 and 2013.

The components of our deferred taxes at September 30, 2014 and 2013 are as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Net deferred tax asset:		
Exploration costs	\$ 946,000	\$ 1,027,000
Property, mineral rights, and equipment	2,818,000	35,000
Long-term investments	180,000	180,000
Foreign investment expenses	(312,000)	(312,000)
Share-based compensation	2,106,000	2,106,000
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward	2,000	2,000
Foreign income tax credit carryforwards	697,000	697,000
Federal and state net operating losses	9,331,000	7,827,000
Foreign net operating losses	1,691,000	1,691,000
Total deferred tax asset	<u>17,459,000</u>	<u>13,253,000</u>
Valuation allowance	<u>(17,459,000)</u>	<u>(13,253,000)</u>
Deferred tax asset	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

BH Minerals USA, Inc.

Net deferred tax asset:		
Property, mineral rights, and equipment	\$ (3,812,000)	\$ (3,814,000)
Exploration costs	2,693,000	2,954,000
Federal and state net operating losses	3,694,000	3,251,000
Total deferred tax asset	<u>2,575,000</u>	<u>2,391,000</u>
Valuation allowance	<u>(2,575,000)</u>	<u>(2,391,000)</u>
Deferred tax asset	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

The federal income taxes of our wholly owned subsidiary, BH Minerals USA, Inc., are not consolidated with those of the rest of the Company since BH Minerals USA, Inc. is wholly owned by our Canadian subsidiary, Staccato Gold Resources Ltd.

At September 30, 2014, net deferred tax assets prior to the valuation allowance were \$17,459,000 compared to \$13,253,000 at September 30, 2013. The change is primarily due to the acquisition of Wolfpack Nevada.

NOTE 12 – INCOME TAXES, (continued):

The annual tax benefit is different from the amount that would be provided by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to our pretax loss for the following reasons:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Statutory Federal income tax rate	(2,731,541) 35%	(3,724,582) 34%
Expected income tax benefit based on statutory rate	\$ (956,000)	\$ (1,303,000)
Permanent differences	2,000	4,000
Effect of state taxes	(128,000)	119,000
Effect of tax rate changes	-	574,000
Non-recognition due to increase in valuation allowance	1,082,000	606,000
Total income tax benefit	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>-</u>

We have no unrecognized tax benefits at September 30, 2014 or 2013. It is not anticipated that there will be any significant changes to unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months. If interest and penalties were to be assessed, we would charge interest to interest expense, and penalties to other operating expense. Fiscal years 2011 through 2014 remain subject to examination by state and federal tax authorities.

At September 30, 2014, we had federal net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$35,600,000 which will expire in fiscal years ending September 30, 2017 through September 30, 2034. Approximately \$11,200,000 of state net operating loss carryforwards will expire in fiscal years ending September 30, 2015 through September 30, 2034.

At September 30, 2014 we also have approximately \$6,500,000 in net operating loss carryforwards in Canada which will expire in fiscal years ending September 30, 2024 through September 30, 2031.

The Tax Reform Act of 1986 substantially changed the rules relative to the use of net operating loss and general business credit carryforwards in the event of an “ownership change” of a corporation. Due to the change in ownership in 2004, we are restricted in the future use of net operating losses generated before the ownership change. As of September 30, 2014, this limitation is applicable to accumulated federal net operating losses of approximately \$2,040,000.

As a result of the tax-free Wolfpack Nevada acquisition (See Note 4), the Company’s deferred tax asset increased by \$3,308,000. The asset is fully reserved. This amount includes \$1,800,000 of federal net operating loss carryover that is limited by Code Section 382. As of September 30, 2014, the Company has not determined if any other losses are limited by IRS Code Section 382 after the acquisition.

NOTE 13 – COMMON STOCK, WARRANTS AND PREFERRED STOCK:

One-for-twelve Reverse Stock Split

Subsequent to September 30, 2014, our board of directors and stockholders approved a one-for-twelve reverse stock split of the Company’s common stock. After the reverse stock split, effective October 31, 2014, each holder of record held one share of common stock for every 12 shares held immediately prior to the effective date. As a result of the reverse stock split, the number of shares underlying outstanding stock options and warrants and the related exercise prices were adjusted to reflect the change in the share price and outstanding shares on the date of the reverse stock split. The effect of fractional shares was not material.

Following the effective date of the reverse stock split, the par value of the common stock remained at \$0.001 per share. As a result, we have reduced the common stock in the consolidated balance sheets and statement of changes in stockholders’ equity included herein on a retroactive basis for all periods presented, with a corresponding increase to additional paid-in capital. All share and per-share amounts and related disclosures have been retroactively adjusted for all periods presented to reflect the one-for-twelve reverse stock split.

NOTE 13 – COMMON STOCK, WARRANTS AND PREFERRED STOCK, (continued):

Increase in Authorized Shares

During the year ended September 30, 2014, our board of directors and stockholders approved an increase in the number of authorized shares of common stock from 100,000,000 shares, par value \$0.001, to 200,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001.

Public Offerings

In December 2012, we closed an underwritten public offering of our common stock to investors in the United States. We entered into an underwriting agreement to sell 479,166 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.40 per share for gross proceeds of \$1,150,000. We incurred \$261,585 in expenses with respect to the offering, resulting in net proceeds of \$888,415. In connection with the offering, we also issued 12,500 warrants to the underwriter of the public offering. Each warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share for a two year period commencing December 26, 2013. The warrants are exercisable by the holders on a cashless basis, but no registration rights were granted for the shares of common stock underlying the warrants.

In September 2013, we closed an underwritten public offering of our common stock to investors in the United States. We entered into an underwriting agreement to sell 416,667 shares of common stock at a price of \$2.40 per share for gross proceeds of \$1,000,000. We incurred \$204,579 in expenses with respect to the offering, resulting in net proceeds of \$795,421. In connection with the offering, we also issued 12,500 warrants to the underwriter of the public offering. Each warrant is exercisable to acquire one share of common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share for a two year period commencing September 10, 2014. The warrants are exercisable by the holders on a cashless basis, but no registration rights were granted for the shares of common stock underlying the warrants.

Stock Issued for Mineral Rights, Property and Equipment

During the year ended September 30, 2014, pursuant to a vendor agreement related to the provision of metallurgical testing services, we issued 53,922 restricted common shares with a value of \$110,000 based upon the closing price of our shares of common stock on the date of issuance as quoted on the NYSE MKT.

During the year ended September 30, 2014, pursuant to an amended mineral property lease and option agreement for mineral claims, we issued 16,667 restricted common shares with a value of \$40,000 based upon the closing price of our shares of common stock on the date of issuance as quoted on the NYSE MKT.

During the year ended September 30, 2014, 83,334 shares of common stock were due to be issued as a portion of the consideration for an option to acquire a package of mineral claims in Nevada. The common stock was issued subsequent to September 30, 2014. The common stock issued was valued at the closing price of our common stock on the date prior to the due date for the issuance as quoted on the NYSE MKT, which was \$0.96 per share, for a total value of \$80,000, which is common stock payable on September 30, 2014.

During the year ended September 30, 2013, 8,333 shares of common stock were issued, for a value of \$21,500 based upon the closing price of our shares of common stock as quoted on the NYSE MKT, as consideration for an exclusive period of due diligence to evaluate the acquisition of a package of mineral claims in Nevada. Following the due diligence period, we acquired the package of mineral claims in Nevada in exchange for the issuance of an additional 75,000 shares of common stock valued at \$306,000 based upon the closing price of our shares of common stock as quoted on the NYSE MKT.

Stock Issued for Repayment of Note Payable

On March 14, 2014, we entered into a promissory note (the “Note”) and deed of trust, security agreement, assignment of leases and rents and fixture filing to secure promissory note (the “Deed of Trust”) with Wolfpack Gold Corp. (“Wolfpack”). (see Notes 4 and 11). The outstanding principal amount of \$1,000,000, together with accrued interest of \$17,226, was paid to Wolfpack with the issuance of 706,407 shares of our common stock on August 15, 2014.

NOTE 13 – COMMON STOCK, WARRANTS AND PREFERRED STOCK, (continued):

Warrants

We issued 25,000 warrants during the year ended September 30, 2013 in connection with our Public Offerings. 12,500 of the warrants are exercisable on a cashless basis, at the holders' option, for a two-year term commencing December 26, 2013. The remaining 12,500 warrants are exercisable on a cashless basis, at the holders' option, for a two-year term commencing September 10, 2014. No warrants were issued during the year ended September 30, 2014, and no warrants were exercised or expired during the years ended September 30 2014 or 2013.

Preferred Stock

We are authorized to issue up to 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value. Our Board of Directors is authorized to issue the preferred stock from time to time in series, and is further authorized to establish such series, to fix and determine the variations in the relative rights and preferences as between series, to fix voting rights, if any, for each series, and to allow for the conversion of preferred stock into common stock.

NOTE 14 – STOCK OPTIONS:

We have established the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan (as amended by shareholders of the Company on May 28, 2010) to authorize the granting of up to 833,333 stock options to employees, directors, and consultants. Upon exercise of options, shares are issued from the available authorized shares of the Company. Option awards are granted with an exercise price equal to the fair value of our stock at the date of grant. The fair value of each option award was estimated on the date of grant using the assumptions noted in the following table. No option awards were granted during the year ended September 30, 2014, and no option awards vested under the plan during the period. Therefore, no stock based compensation expense is included in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended September 30, 2014. For the year ended September 30, 2013, 8,334 options were granted and vested, and \$10,000 in stock based compensation expense is included in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended September 30, 2013.

	2013
Expected volatility	71.3%
Weighted-average volatility	71.3%
Expected dividends	-
Expected term (in years)	3
Risk-free rate	0.38%
Expected forfeiture rate	0%

NOTE 14 – STOCK OPTIONS, (continued):

Total compensation cost of options vested under the plan, charged against operations, is included in the consolidated statements of operations as follows:

	Year ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
Other general and administrative expenses	\$ -	\$ 10,000
Total	\$ -	\$ 10,000

The following is a summary of our options issued under our stock incentive plan:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding at September 30, 2012	540,958	\$ 11.16
Granted	8,334	2.64
Exercised	-	-
Expired	(110,000)	(25.08)
Outstanding at September 30, 2013	<u>439,292</u>	<u>\$ 7.56</u>
Exercisable at September 30, 2013	<u>439,292</u>	<u>\$ 7.56</u>
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year ended September 30, 2013		<u>\$ 1.20</u>
Outstanding at September 30, 2013	439,292	\$ 7.56
Granted	-	-
Exercised	-	-
Expired	(235,959)	(6.14)
Outstanding at September 30, 2014	<u>203,334</u>	<u>\$ 9.09</u>
Exercisable at September 30, 2014	<u>203,334</u>	<u>\$ 9.09</u>
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the year ended September 30, 2014		<u>\$ -</u>
Unrecognized compensation expense related to options at September 30, 2014		<u>\$ -</u>
Average remaining contractual term of options outstanding and exercisable at September 30, 2014 (years)		1.67

The aggregate of options both outstanding and exercisable as of September 30, 2014 had no intrinsic value based on the closing price per share of our common stock of \$0.96 on September 30, 2014.

NOTE 15 – COMMITMENTS:

Mineral Exploration

A portion of our Lookout Mountain mineral claims are subject to a mining lease and agreement dated August 22, 2003 with Rocky Canyon Mining Company, as amended on June 1, 2008. The lease term was extended to 20 years on June 1, 2008, and thereafter for as long as minerals are mined on the project. Under this agreement we are obligated to make advance royalty payments of \$72,000 per annum.

During the year ended September 30, 2013, we announced that we had entered into a Lease and Option-to-Purchase Agreement (the "Knight Agreement") to evaluate, explore, and develop a package of mineral claims in Nevada comprised of six separate properties, including the Iron Butte Project. Annual advance royalty payments of \$25,000 commence under the Knight Agreement in March 2015. In order to continue exploration of the properties subject to the Knight Agreement, we were required to issue 83,334 shares of our common stock in September 2014 (which were issued in October 2014 upon approval for listing by the NYSE MKT) and 125,000 shares of our common stock in March 2016. We also hold an option to purchase the claims for \$2,000,000, in addition to the share issuances described above, on or before March 2017. The Knight Agreement does not require any annual work commitments, and provides us with a first right of refusal on certain other Nevada properties controlled by Mr. Knight and currently under lease to third parties.

We pay federal and county claim maintenance fees on several of our mineral exploration properties. The total of these fees was approximately \$335,000 and \$275,000 as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Should we continue to explore all of our mineral properties we expect annual fees to total approximately \$400,000 per year in the future.

Real Estate Lease Commitments

We have real estate lease commitments related to our main office in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho, and a facility in Eureka, Nevada.

Total office lease expenses for the years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are included in the consolidated statements of operations as follows:

	<u>2014</u>	<u>2013</u>
Mineral exploration expenses	\$ 15,600	\$ 39,501
Other general and administrative expenses	<u>47,500</u>	<u>50,310</u>
Total	<u>\$ 63,100</u>	<u>\$ 89,811</u>

Annual lease obligations until the termination of the leases are as follows:

For the year ending September 30,	
2015	\$ 57,600
2016	54,100

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

At the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision of and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer, Paul Dirksen (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer, Randal Hardy, (“CFO”), of the effectiveness of the design and operations of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a – 15(e) and Rule 15d – 15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation the CEO and the CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that: (i) information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in applicable rules and forms and (ii) material information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow for accurate and timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) and all related information appearing in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

1. pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
2. provide reasonable assurance that the transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with the authorization of management and/or of our Board of Directors; and
3. provide reasonable assurance regarding the prevention or timely detection of any unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness in future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management conducted an evaluation of the design and operation of our internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2014 based on the criteria in a framework developed by the Company’s management pursuant to and in compliance with the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. This evaluation included review of the documentation of controls, evaluation of the design effectiveness of controls, walkthroughs of the operating effectiveness of controls and a conclusion on this evaluation. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of September 30, 2014.

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f)), that occurred during our fourth fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2014 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Reference is made to the information set forth under the captions “Election of Directors”, “Information on the Board of Directors, Executive Officers and Key Employees” and “Corporate Governance” in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, which information is incorporated by reference to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Reference is made to the information set forth under the caption “Executive Compensation” in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, which information is incorporated by reference to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Our Code of Business and Ethical Conduct can be found on our internet website located at www.timberline-resources.com under the heading "Corporate." Any stockholder may request a printed copy of such materials by submitting a written request to our Corporate Secretary. If we amend the Code of Business and Ethical Conduct or grant a waiver, including an implicit waiver, from the Code of Business and Ethical Conduct, we will disclose the information on our internet website. The waiver information will remain on the website for at least twelve months after the initial disclosure of such waiver.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDERS MATTERS

Reference is made to the information set forth under the captions “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters” in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, which information is incorporated by reference to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

Reference is made to the information set forth under the caption “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions” in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, which information is incorporated by reference to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

Reference is made to the information set forth under the captions “Information of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm” in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, which information is incorporated by reference to this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

Documents Filed as Part of Report

Financial Statements

The following Consolidated Financial Statements of the Corporation are filed as part of this report:

1. Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm dated December 17, 2014.
2. Consolidated Balance Sheets—At September 30, 2014 and 2013.
3. Consolidated Statements of Operations —Years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.
4. Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders’ Equity—Years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.
5. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—Years ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.
6. Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

See “Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.”

Exhibit No.	Description of Document
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation of the Registrant as amended through October 31, 2014.
3.2	By-Laws of the Registrant, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2008.
4.1	Specimen of the Common Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10SB as filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on September 29, 2005
4.2	Form of Warrant Agreement between the Company and Aegis Capital Corp., incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 18, 2013.
10.1*	P. Dirksen Agreement/Current Consulting Agreement, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10SB as filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on September 29, 2005
10.2	Memorandum of Royalty Deed and Agreement between Hecla Mining Co. and the Registrant, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on February 6, 2006.
10.3	Quitclaim Deed and Assignment between Hecla Mining Co. and the Registrant, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on February 6, 2006.
10.4*	Amended 2005 Equity Incentive Plan approved at the September 22, 2006 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, incorporated by reference Exhibit A to the Company's Schedule DEF14A (Proxy Statement) as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 8, 2006
10.5*	Employment Agreement with VP Paul Dirksen, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10KSB as filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on January 16, 2007.
10.6	Assignment and Assumption Agreement dated July 19, 2007 between the Registrant and Butte Highlands Mining Company, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 23, 2007.
10.7*	Amendment No. 1 to Timberline Resources Corporation's Amended 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 26, 2008.
10.8	Operating Agreement with Highland Mining, LLC, dated July 22, 2009 (Note that parts of this agreement have been redacted pursuant to a Confidential Treatment Request granted by the Commission on February 2, 2011, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-K as filed with the Securities Exchange Commission on December 20, 2010.
10.9*	Amended Employment Agreement of Mr. Paul Dirksen, dated December 29, 2008, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 12, 2009.
10.10*	Amended Employment Agreement of Mr. Randal Hardy dated December 29, 2008, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 12, 2009.
10.11	Underwriting Agreement between the Company and Aegis Capital Corp., dated December 19, 2012, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 21, 2012.
10.12	Lease and Option Agreement for Purchase & Sale of Dave Knight Mining Properties, dated August 22, 2013, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 28, 2013.
10.13	Underwriting Agreement between the Company and Aegis Capital Corp., dated September 4, 2013, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 5, 2013.
10.14	Amendment to Lease and Option Agreement for Purchase & Sale of Dave Knight Mining Properties, dated October 25, 2013, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 29, 2013.
10.15	Addendum to a Confidentiality Agreement, effective November 22, 2013, dated December 2, 2013, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2013
10.16	Agreement between the Company and Timberline Drilling Inc. regarding early cash payment under the Drilling Services and Related Payments Obligations Agreement, incorporated by reference to the Company Form 10-Q as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2014
10.17	Letter of Intent between the Company and Wolfpack dated March 11, 2014, incorporated by reference to the Company Form 10-Q as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2014
10.18	Promissory Note dated March 14, 2014 between the Company and Wolfpack, incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2014
10.19	Deed of Trust dated March 14, 2014 between the Company and Wolfpack, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 20, 2014
10.20	Amended Letter of Intent dated April 14, 2014 between the Company and Wolfpack, incorporated by reference to the Company Form 10-Q as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 6, 2014
10.21	Arrangement Agreement dated May 6, 2014 between the Company and Wolfpack, incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 15, 2014
10.22	Acknowledgement and Agreement To Be Bound to Wolfpack Gold Corp and to Seabridge Gold Inc., incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 21, 2014
21	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	Consent of Decoria, Maichel & Teague
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Exchange Act)
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 of the Exchange Act)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350)
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. 1350)
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized:

TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION

<u>/s/ Paul Dircksen</u>	President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	December 22, 2014
Paul Dircksen		
<u>/s/ Randal Hardy</u>	Chief Financial Officer	December 22, 2014
Randal Hardy	(Principal Financial Officer)	

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Annual Report on Form 10-K was signed by the following persons in the capacities on the dates stated:

<u>/s/ Paul Dircksen</u>	President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director	December 22, 2014
Paul Dircksen	(Principal Executive Officer)	
<u>/s/ Randal Hardy</u>	Chief Financial Officer	December 22, 2014
Randal Hardy	(Principal Financial Officer)	
<u>/s/ William M. Sheriff</u>	Chairman of the Board of Directors	December 22, 2014
William M. Sheriff		
<u>/s/ Robert Martinez</u>	Director	December 22, 2014
Robert Martinez		
<u>/s/ Leigh Freeman</u>	Director	December 22, 2014
Leigh Freeman		
<u>/s/ John E. Watson</u>	Director	December 22, 2014
John E. Watson		

Exhibit 3.1

**CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT
TO
THE CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION
OF
TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION**

Timberline Resources Corporation (the “**Corporation**”), a corporation duly organized and existing under the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the “**DGCL**”), does hereby certify:

FIRST: That at a meeting of the Board of Directors of the Corporation (the “**Board**”) resolutions were duly adopted authorizing the Corporation to execute and file with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware amendments (the “**Amendment**”) to the Corporation’s certificate of incorporation (the “**Certificate of Incorporation**”) to reclassify, change, and convert every twelve (12) outstanding shares of the Corporation’s common stock, par value \$0.001 per share (“**Common Stock**”), into one (1) share of Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share.

SECOND:

1. Article V of the Corporation’s Certificate of Incorporation is hereby amended by adding the following:

“Upon the effectiveness of this Certificate of Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation of the Corporation, every twelve (12) shares of the Corporation’s issued and outstanding Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, shall, automatically and without any further action on the part of the Corporation or the holder thereof, be reclassified and changed into one (1) share of the Corporation’s Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share. No fractional shares of Common Stock will be issuable pursuant to this reclassification and any fractional share of Common Stock that would be issuable will be rounded up to one whole share of Common Stock.”

THIRD: That pursuant to a resolution of the Board, a special meeting of the stockholders of the Corporation was duly called and held upon notice in accordance with Section 222 of the DGCL at which meeting the necessary number of shares as required by statute were voted in favor of the Amendment.

FOURTH: That the aforesaid Amendment were duly adopted in accordance with the applicable provisions of Section 242 of the DGCL.

FIFTH: The foregoing amendment shall be effective on October 31, 2014 at 5:00 pm EDT.

SIXTH: Except as herein amended, the Corporation’s Certificate of Incorporation shall remain in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Corporation has caused this Certificate of Amendment to be executed by a duly authorized officer on this 31st day of October, 2014.

TIMBERLINE RESOURCES CORPORATION

/s/ Randal Hardy

By: _____

Name: Randal Hardy

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 21


List of Subsidiaries of Timberline Resources Corporation

Staccato Gold Resources Ltd., British Columbia, Canada

BH Minerals USA Inc., Colorado

Wolfpack Gold (Nevada) Corp., Nevada

Exhibit 23.1



CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

We hereby consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement Nos. 333-153328 and 333-167502 on Form S-8 of Timberline Resources Corporation and Registration Statement No. 333-181378 on Form S-3 of our report dated December 17, 2014, with respect to the consolidated balance sheets of Timberline Resources Corporation as of September 30, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended, which report appears in the September 30, 2014 annual report on Form 10-K of Timberline Resources Corporation.

DeCoria, Maichel & Teague, P.S.

DeCoria, Maichel & Teague, P.S.
Spokane, Washington
December 17, 2014

EXHIBIT 31.1

CERTIFICATION

I, Paul Dircksen, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Timberline Resources Corporation,
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 22, 2014

By: /s/ Paul Dircksen

Paul Dircksen
President & Chief Executive Officer
Principal Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31.2

CERTIFICATION

I, Randal Hardy, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Timberline Resources Corporation,
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 22, 2014

By: /s/ Randal Hardy

Randal Hardy
Chief Financial Officer
Principal Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 32.1

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Timberline Resources Corporation (the “Company”) on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Paul Dirksen, President, Chief Executive Officer and Executive Chairman of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;
and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: December 22, 2014

By: /s/ Paul Dirksen

Paul Dirksen
President & Chief Executive Officer
Principal Executive Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code) and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.

EXHIBIT 32.2

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Timberline Resources Corporation (the “Company”) on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2014, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the “Report”), I, Randal Hardy, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;
and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: December 22, 2014

By: /s/ Randal Hardy

Randal Hardy
Chief Financial Officer
Principal Financial Officer

The foregoing certification is being furnished solely pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code) and is not being filed as part of the Report or as a separate disclosure document.